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#### Abstract

We determine the cycle class of join algebraic cycles inside smooth hypersurfaces by means of their periods. We show that being a join algebraic cycle is equivalent to have its associated Artin Gorenstein algebra isomorphic to the tensor product of the Artin Gorenstein algebras of each generating cycle. This decomposition allows us to relate the quadratic fundamental form associated to the Hodge loci of each algebraic cycle with the one associated to their join. As a first application we study at a second order approximation several Hodge loci of join algebraic cycles given as combinations of two linear cycles (previously studied by Movasati, Dan, Kloosterman and the second author), showing the non-smoothness in some new cases.

Our main application is to show the existence of fake linear cycles inside hypersurfaces of any dimension and degree, given as join of 0-dimensional fake linear cycles inside hypersurfaces of $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ with all their closed points defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. This shows that also for high degree hypersurfaces, there are infinitely many (non smooth) Hodge loci whose Zariski tangent space has the same codimension as the codimension of the component associated to a linear cycle.


## 1 Introduction

Let $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ be an odd dimensional projective space (i.e. $n$ even), and consider two odd dimensional (i.e. $k$ is also even) linear subspaces $\mathbb{P}^{k+1}, \mathbb{P}^{n-k-1} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ such that $\mathbb{P}^{k+1} \cap \mathbb{P}^{n-k-1}=\varnothing$. Assume $X_{1}:=\{f(x)=0\} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{k+1}$ and $X_{2}:=\{g(y)=0\} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{n-k-1}$ are two degree $d$ smooth hypersurfaces, then

$$
X:=\{f(x)+g(y)=0\} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{n+1}
$$

is also a smooth degree $d$ hypersurface. Given two half dimensional algebraic subvarieties $Z_{1} \subseteq$ $X_{1}$ and $Z_{2} \subseteq X_{2}$, their join $J\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right) \subseteq X$ is the closure of the union of all lines connecting one point of $Z_{1}$ with one point of $Z_{2}$ inside $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$. In other words, in terms of their homogeneous coordinate rings

$$
S\left(J\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right)\right)=\frac{\mathbb{C}[x, y]}{I\left(J\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right)\right)}=\frac{\mathbb{C}[x]}{I\left(Z_{1}\right)} \otimes \frac{\mathbb{C}[y]}{I\left(Z_{2}\right)}=S\left(Z_{1}\right) \otimes S\left(Z_{2}\right)
$$

or equivalently in terms of their affine cones

$$
C\left(J\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right)\right)=C\left(Z_{1}\right) \times C\left(Z_{2}\right)
$$

The above definition is compatible with rational equivalence and so, it can be extended bilinearly to a map

$$
J: \mathrm{CH}^{\frac{k}{2}}\left(X_{1}\right) \otimes \mathrm{CH}^{\frac{n-k-2}{2}}\left(X_{2}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}^{\frac{n}{2}}(X)
$$

[^0]This is a classical construction in algebraic geometry. A natural question is to ask what is the relation between the cycle classes of $Z_{1}, Z_{2}$ and $J\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right)$. Our main result establishes that relation in terms of Griffiths' basis. Recall that by Griffiths' theorem [Gri69] we can always write the primitive part of the cycle class of an algebraic cycle $Z \in \mathrm{CH}^{\frac{n}{2}}(X)$ inside a smooth degree $d$ hypersurface $X=\{F=0\} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ of even dimension $n$ as a residue form

$$
\begin{equation*}
[Z]_{\text {prim }}=\frac{(-1)^{\frac{n}{2}+1} \frac{n}{2}!}{d} \operatorname{res}\left(\frac{P_{Z} \Omega}{F^{\frac{n}{2}+1}}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a unique $P_{Z} \in R_{(d-2)\left(\frac{n}{2}+1\right)}^{F}$. In consistency with the main result of [VL22a] we say $P_{Z}$ is the polynomial associated to the algebraic cycle $Z$. Using this notation we can state our main result as follows:
Theorem 1.1. Let $Z_{1} \in \mathrm{CH}^{\frac{k}{2}}\left(X_{1}\right)$ and $Z_{2} \in \mathrm{CH}^{\frac{n-k-2}{2}}\left(X_{2}\right)$, then $J\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right) \in \mathrm{CH}^{\frac{n}{2}}(X)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{J\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right)}=P_{Z_{1}} \cdot P_{Z_{2}} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, if $\delta \in H^{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}}(X, \mathbb{Q})_{\text {prim }}$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{f+g, \delta}=R^{f,\left[Z_{1}\right]} \otimes R^{g,\left[Z_{2}\right]} \Longleftrightarrow \delta=c \cdot\left[J\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right)\right]_{\text {prim }} \quad \text { for some } c \in \mathbb{Q}^{\times} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $R^{f,\left[Z_{1}\right]}, R^{g,\left[Z_{2}\right]}$ and $R^{f+g, \delta}$ are the Artinian Gorenstein algebras associated to each Hodge cycle (see Definition 2.2).

This result follows from the following periods relation:
Theorem 1.2. Let $Z_{1} \in \mathrm{CH}^{\frac{k}{2}}\left(X_{1}\right)$ and $Z_{2} \in \mathrm{CH}^{\frac{n-k-2}{2}}\left(X_{2}\right)$. For any homogeneous polynomials $P(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ and $Q(y) \in \mathbb{C}[y]$ such that $\operatorname{deg}(P(x) \cdot Q(y))=(d-2)\left(\frac{n}{2}+1\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\frac{n}{2}!}{\frac{k}{2}!\cdot \frac{n-k-2}{2}!} \int_{J\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right)} \operatorname{res}\left(\frac{P(x) Q(y) \Omega}{(f(x)+g(y))^{\frac{n}{2}+1}}\right)=-2 \pi i \cdot \int_{Z_{1}} \operatorname{res}\left(\frac{P \Omega^{\prime}}{f^{\frac{k}{2}+1}}\right) \cdot \int_{Z_{2}} \operatorname{res}\left(\frac{Q \Omega^{\prime \prime}}{g^{\frac{n-k}{2}}}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $\operatorname{deg}(P)=(d-2)\left(\frac{k}{2}+1\right)$ and $\operatorname{deg}(Q)=(d-2)\left(\frac{n-k}{2}\right)$, and is zero otherwise. Where $\Omega, \Omega^{\prime}$, and $\Omega^{\prime \prime}$ are the standard top forms of $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}, \mathbb{P}^{k+1}$ and $\mathbb{P}^{n-k-1}$ respectively.

The above relation is in principle purely topological and can be deduced as an application of a theorem of Sebastiani and Thom [ST71] which states that the monodromy of $f(x)+g(y)$ : $\mathbb{C}^{n+2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ splits as a tensor product of the monodromies of $f: \mathbb{C}^{k+2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $g: \mathbb{C}^{n-k} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. In order to obtain the relation one has to identify the monodromy invariant part of the cohomology of the affine smooth fiber with the primitive cohomology of the projective hypersurface, keeping track of the isomorphisms in homology and the compatibility with the Griffiths' bases. In this purely algebraic context, we will give an alternative proof which relies in a toric birational modification of the ambient space which reduces the computation to a smooth hypersurface of a projective simplicial toric variety, and then use tools recently developed by the second author in [VL23] to describe residue forms along hypersurfaces in toric ambient.

After understanding the cycle class of a join of two algebraic cycles in terms of the cycle classes of each of them, it is natural to ask if this allows us to relate their corresponding Hodge loci. Recall that given a Hodge cycle $\delta \in H^{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}}(X, \mathbb{Q})$ we can consider $X=X_{t_{0}}$ as the central
element of the family $\pi: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow T$ of all smooth degree $d$ hypersurfaces of even dimension $n$ of $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$. Then in any small simply connected analytic neighbourhood of $t_{0}$ we can do a parallel transport of $\delta=\delta_{t_{0}}$ to $\delta_{t} \in H^{n}\left(X_{t}, \mathbb{Q}\right)$ for $t \in\left(T, t_{0}\right)$ and consider the Hodge locus to be the germ of analytic subvariety

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\delta}:=\left\{t \in\left(T, t_{0}\right): \delta_{t} \in H^{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}}\left(X_{t}, \mathbb{Q}\right)\right\} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, the Hodge locus comes with a natural analytic scheme structure which might be nonreduced. This non-reduceness might be detected for instance using the quadratic fundamental form introduced by Maclean (see [Mac05]), which must vanish when the Hodge locus is smooth. We relate the quadratic fundamental form of the Hodge loci $V_{\left[Z_{1}\right]}, V_{\left[Z_{2}\right]}$ and $V_{\left[J\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right)\right]}$ as follows:

Theorem 1.3. In the same context of Theorem 1.1 let us denote by

$$
\begin{aligned}
q: \operatorname{Sym}^{2}\left(J^{f+g,\left[J\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right)\right]}\right) & \rightarrow R^{f+g} /\left\langle P_{Z_{1}} \cdot P_{Z_{2}}\right\rangle, \\
q_{1}: \operatorname{Sym}^{2}\left(J^{f,\left[Z_{1}\right]}\right) & \rightarrow R^{f} /\left\langle P_{Z_{1}}\right\rangle, \\
q_{2}: \operatorname{Sym}^{2}\left(J^{g,\left[Z_{2}\right]}\right) & \rightarrow R^{g} /\left\langle P_{Z_{2}}\right\rangle,
\end{aligned}
$$

the bilinear forms (introduced in Theorem 2.1) associated to $J\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right), Z_{1}$ and $Z_{2}$ respectively. Consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G=A_{1}(x, y) G_{1}(x)+A_{2}(x, y) G_{2}(y) \in J^{f+g,\left[J\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right)\right]} \\
& H=B_{1}(x, y) H_{1}(x)+B_{2}(x, y) H_{2}(y) \in J^{f+g,\left[J\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right)\right]}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $G_{1}, H_{1} \in J^{f,\left[Z_{1}\right]}, G_{2}, H_{2} \in J^{g,\left[Z_{2}\right]}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(G, H)=A_{1} B_{1} P_{Z_{2}} q_{1}\left(G_{1}, H_{1}\right)+A_{2} B_{2} P_{Z_{1}} q_{2}\left(G_{2}, H_{2}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In consequence for any degree $e \geq 0$ we have the following:
(i) If $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ vanish in all degrees $\ell \leq e$, then $q$ vanishes in degree $e$.
(ii) If $q$ vanishes in degree $e$, then $\left.q_{1}\right|_{\operatorname{Sym}^{2}\left(J_{\ell}^{f,\left[Z_{1}\right]}\right)} \cdot \mathbb{C}[x]_{j}=0 \in R^{f} /\left\langle P_{Z_{1}}\right\rangle$ for all degrees $\ell, j \geq 0$ such that $\ell \leq e, j \leq 2(e-\ell)$ and $2(e-\ell)-j \leq(d-2)\left(\frac{n-k}{2}\right)$. One gets a similar assertion for $q_{2}$ by symmetry.

As first applications we illustrate how the join description can be used to determine the Artinian Gorenstein ideal associated to some combinations of linear cycles in Fermat varieties and their quadratic fundamental forms. We mainly focus on combinations of two linear cycles of the form $r \mathbb{P}^{\frac{n}{2}}+\check{r} \check{\mathbb{P}}^{\frac{n}{2}} \in \mathrm{CH}^{\frac{n}{2}}(X)$ for $X=\left\{x_{0}^{d}+\cdots+x_{n+1}^{d}=0\right\}$ such that $\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{P}^{\frac{n}{2}} \cap \check{\mathbb{P}}^{\frac{n}{2}}=$ $m \geq \frac{n}{2}-\frac{d}{d-2}$. This kind of combinations have already been studied by Movasati and the second author [MV18, VL22a, Mov22] as a non-trivial case to study the Variational Hodge Conjecture for reducible algebraic cycles. After [VL22a, Theorem 1.3] it is known that for $m<\frac{n}{2}-\frac{d}{d-2}$ the Hodge locus $V_{r\left[\mathbb{P}^{\frac{n}{2}}\right]+\dot{r}\left[\mathbb{P}^{\frac{n}{2}}\right]}$ is smooth and corresponds to $V_{\left[\mathbb{P}^{\frac{n}{2}}\right]} \cap V_{\left[\tilde{\mathbb{P}}^{\frac{n}{2}}\right]}$. The remaining cases which we study in this article were studied first by Movasati [Mov21, Chapter 18] who raised some conjectures about their smoothness based on computational evidence. Later in [Mov22] Movasati conjectured the smoothness of the Hodge locus in the case $(d, m)=\left(3, \frac{n}{2}-3\right)$. This conjecture was recently disproved by Kloosterman for $n \geq 10$ in [Klo23]. In that work Kloosterman also
studies the smoothness of the cases $(d, m)=\left(3, \frac{n}{2}-2\right)$ and $\left(4, \frac{n}{2}-2\right)$. Kloosterman's methods rely only on the first order approximation to the Hodge loci, namely the Infinitesimal Variations of Hodge Structure. We complement their results by describing the Artinian Gorenstein ideal of all such algebraic cycles at Fermat, and by showing the vanishing of the quadratic fundamental form. In the remaining case where $m=\frac{n}{2}-1$ and $r \neq \check{r}$ we show the following:
Theorem 1.4. For $d \geq 2+\frac{8}{n}, m=\frac{n}{2}-1$ and $r \neq \check{r}$, the degree $k=d+(d-2)\left(\frac{n}{2}+1\right)$ piece of the quadratic fundamental form associated to the Hodge locus $V_{r\left[\mathbb{P}^{\frac{n}{2}}\right]+\check{r}\left[\mathbb{P}^{\frac{n}{2}}\right]}$ does not vanish at the Fermat point. In consequence $V_{r\left[\mathbb{P}^{\frac{n}{2}}\right]+\check{r}\left[\tilde{\mathbb{P}}^{\frac{n}{2}}\right]}$ is not smooth.

We remark this was already pointed out by Movasati in [Mov21, Theorem 18.3] for a finite number of examples. In the case of surfaces Dan [Dan21] showed that these Hodge loci are non reduced but with reduced structure equal to $V_{\left[\mathbb{P}^{1}\right]} \cap V_{\left[\tilde{P}^{1}\right]}$ at a general surface. The higher dimensional case has also been studied recently by Kloosterman (in an upcoming article [Klo24]) who has shown that $V_{r\left[\mathbb{P}^{\frac{n}{2}}\right]+\dot{r}\left[\mathbb{P}^{\frac{n}{2}}\right]}$ is smooth for $n \geq 4$ and coincides with $V_{\left[\mathbb{P}^{\frac{n}{2}}\right]} \cap V_{\left[\mathbb{P}^{\frac{n}{2}}\right]}$ at a general hypersurface. Kloosterman result together with ours imply that $V_{r\left[\mathbb{P}^{\frac{n}{2}}\right]+\dot{r}\left[\mathbb{P}^{\frac{n}{2}}\right]}$ is globally reducible (as a scheme), and more than one irreducible component is passing through the Fermat point.

As a second application of the join construction we describe algebraic representatives of fake linear cycles in Fermat varieties of degree 3,4 and 6 , which were discovered by the authors in the previous article [DFVL23]. In fact, using the Hilbert function associated to a Hodge cycle (see Definition 6.1) we introduce the notion of fake version of any $\frac{n}{2}$-dimensional algebraic subvariety of any $n$-dimensional smooth hypersurface (see Definition 7.1). Under this new notion, all fake linear cycles (inside any hypersurface) have codimension of the Zariski tangent space of their associated Hodge loci equal to $\binom{\frac{n}{2}+d}{d}-\left(\frac{n}{2}+1\right)^{2}$, which is conjecturally the smallest possible codimension of a Hodge locus, and is known to be attained by linear cycles. It is also known to be a lower bound for $d \gg n$ (by the work of Otwinowska [Otw02]) and for any $d \geq 2+\frac{4}{n}$ when the Hodge loci pass through the Fermat variety (by the work of Movasati [Mov17]). Furthermore, Otwinowska shows that the Hodge loci of linear cycles are the only ones having codimension $\binom{\frac{n}{2}+d}{d}-\left(\frac{n}{2}+1\right)^{2}$ for $d \gg n$. This led Movasati to conjecture, originally only at the Fermat variety [Mov21, Conjecture 18.8], that for $d \geq 2+\frac{6}{n}$ the Hodge loci attaining the mentioned bound at the level of the Zariski tangent space are also only the Hodge loci of linear cycles. The second author [VL22b] proved this conjecture for all Fermat varieties of degree $d \neq 3,4,6$ and later the authors [DFVL23] disproved it for all Fermat varieties of degree $d=3,4,6$ by showing the existence of fake linear cycles. Using the join construction we are able to show the following result which contradicts Movasati's conjecture for all degrees and dimensions.

Theorem 1.5. For any degree $d$ and even dimension $n$, there are infinitely many smooth degree $d$ hypersurfaces $X$ of dimension $n$ in $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ containing infinitely many $\frac{n}{2}$-dimensional fake linear cycles in $\mathbb{P}\left(H^{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}}(X, \mathbb{Q})_{\text {prim }}\right)$.

Note that by Otwinowska's result, for $d \gg n$ the Hodge loci of all fake linear cycles must have codimension strictly bigger than $\binom{\frac{n}{2}+d}{d}-\left(\frac{n}{2}+1\right)^{2}$, hence they are not smooth. Using Theorem 1.3 we show in fact that the Hodge loci of all fake linear cycles mentioned above are not smooth for $d \geq 2+\frac{6}{n}$ (see Theorem 7.2). The main idea behind the proof of Theorem 1.5 is to construct fake linear cycles as joins of 0 -dimensional fake linear cycles inside hypersurfaces of $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ with all their closed points defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. In this way we reduce ourselves to show the existence of fake linear cycles only at those 0 -dimensional hypersurfaces.

The article is organized as follows: in Section 2 we recall some preliminaries about Artinian Gorenstein ideals and the quadratic fundamental form of a Hodge locus. Section 3 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.2. In Section 4 we prove Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.3. Section 5 is devoted to the computation of the Artinian Gorenstein ideal and their associated quadratic fundamental form for all combinations of two linear cycles not covered by [VL22a, Theorem 1.3]. In particular we prove Theorem 1.4 at the end of this section. In Section 6 we introduce the Hilbert function associated to a Hodge cycle, and use this notion in Section 7 to introduce the concept of fake algebraic cycles. This section also contains the proof of Theorem 1.5.
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## 2 Preliminaries

### 2.1 Artinian Gorenstein ideal associated to a Hodge cycle

For the sake of completeness we will briefly recall some known facts about Artinian Gorenstein ideals associated to Hodge cycles in smooth hypersurfaces of the projective space. For a more complete exposition see [VL22b].
Definition 2.1. A graded $\mathbb{C}$-algebra $R$ is Artinian Gorenstein if there exist $\sigma \in \mathbb{N}$ such that
(i) $R_{e}=0$ for all $e>\sigma$,
(ii) $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} R_{\sigma}=1$,
(iii) the multiplication map $R_{i} \times R_{\sigma-i} \rightarrow R_{\sigma}$ is a perfect pairing for all $i=0, \ldots, \sigma$.

The number $\sigma=: \operatorname{soc}(R)$ is the socle of $R$. We say that an ideal $I \subseteq \mathbb{C}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n+1}\right]$ is Artinian Gorenstein of socle $\sigma=: \operatorname{soc}(I)$ if the quotient ring $R=\mathbb{C}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n+1}\right] / I$ is Artinian Gorenstein of socle $\sigma$.

The definition of the following ideal appeared first in the work of Voisin [Voi89] for surfaces, and later in the work of Otwinowska [Otw03] for higher dimensional varieties.
Definition 2.2. Let $X=\{F=0\} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ be a smooth degree $d$ hypersurface of even dimension $n$, and $\lambda \in H^{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ be a non-trivial Hodge cycle. Consider $J^{F}:=\left\langle\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{0}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{n+1}}\right\rangle$ to be the Jacobian ideal, we define the Artinian Gorenstein ideal associated to $\lambda$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
J^{F, \lambda}:=\left(J^{F}: P_{\lambda}\right), \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{\lambda} \in \mathbb{C}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n+1}\right]_{(d-2)\left(\frac{n}{2}+1\right)}$ is such that $\lambda_{\text {prim }}=\operatorname{res}\left(\frac{P_{\lambda} \Omega}{F^{\frac{n}{2}+1}}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}}$. This ideal is Artinian Gorenstein of $\operatorname{soc}\left(J^{F, \lambda}\right)=(d-2)\left(\frac{n}{2}+1\right)=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{soc}\left(J^{F}\right)$. We denote by $R^{F, \lambda}:=\mathbb{C}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n+1}\right] / J^{F, \lambda}$ its corresponding Artinian Gorenstein algebra.

Remark 2.1. The importance of this ideal is that it determines the cycle and its Hodge locus, that is (see for instance [VL22b, Corollary 2.3, Remark 2.3])

$$
J^{F, \lambda_{1}}=J^{F, \lambda_{2}} \Longleftrightarrow \exists c \in \mathbb{Q}^{\times}:\left(\lambda_{1}-c \cdot \lambda_{2}\right)_{\text {prim }}=0 \Longleftrightarrow V_{\lambda_{1}}=V_{\lambda_{2}} .
$$

This ideal also encodes the information of the first-order approximation of the Hodge loci in a simple way. More precisely, let $T \subseteq \mathbb{C}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n+1}\right]_{d}$ be the parameter space of smooth degree $d$ hypersurfaces of $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$, of even dimension $n$. For $t \in T$, let $X_{t}=\{F=0\} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ be the corresponding hypersurface. For every Hodge cycle $\lambda \in H^{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}}\left(X_{t}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$, we can compute the Zariski tangent space of its associated Hodge locus $V_{\lambda}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{t} V_{\lambda}=J_{d}^{F, \lambda} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where we have identified $T_{t} T \simeq \mathbb{C}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n+1}\right]_{d}$.

### 2.2 Quadratic fundamental form

In this section we will explore the second order invariant of the IVHS associated to the Hodge locus $V_{[Z]}$ described by Maclean [Mac05]. This invariant allows us to derive geometric information about the Hodge locus, namely the Hodge locus is either singular or non-reduced. For this type of application see [DFVL23].

The quadratic fundamental form was described in the context of surfaces for the classical Noether-Lefschetz loci by Maclean [Mac05]. However in higher dimensions it also gives a partial description of the quadratic fundamental form.

Definition 2.3. Let $M$ be a smooth $m$-dimensional analytic scheme, $V$ a vector bundle on $M$ and $\sigma$ a section of $V$. Let $W$ be the zero locus of $\sigma$ and let $x \in W$. The quadratic fundamental form of $\sigma$ at $x$ is

$$
q_{\sigma, x}: T_{x} W \otimes T_{x} W \rightarrow V_{x} / \operatorname{Im}\left(d \sigma_{x}\right)
$$

given in local coordinates $\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{m}\right)$ around $x$ by

$$
q_{\sigma, x}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}}, \sum_{j=1}^{m} \beta_{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} \beta_{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}}(\sigma)\right) .
$$

Remark 2.2. The quadratic fundamental form detects the second order approximation to $W$ at $x$. In particular if $W$ is smooth at $x$, then $q_{\sigma, x}$ vanishes.

In our context we will take $M=(T, 0), V=\bigoplus_{p=0}^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \mathcal{F}^{p} / \mathcal{F}^{p+1}$ and $x=0$. Where $T \subseteq$ $H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}(d)\right)$ is the parameter space of smooth degree $d$ hypersurfaces of $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}, \pi: X \rightarrow T$ is the corresponding family, $\mathcal{F}^{p}=R^{n} \pi_{*} \Omega_{X / T}^{\bullet \geq p}$, and $0 \in T$ corresponds to the Fermat variety. In order to construct a section $\sigma$ of $V$ around $x$, let $\lambda \in H^{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}}\left(X_{d}^{n}\right)_{\text {prim }} \cap H^{n}\left(X_{d}^{n}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$ be a Hodge cycle, and consider $\bar{\lambda}$ its induced flat section in $\mathcal{F}^{0} / \mathcal{F}^{\frac{n}{2}}$. If we fix a holomorphic splitting $\mathcal{F}^{0} / \mathcal{F}^{\frac{n}{2}} \simeq V$ and we take $\sigma$ as the image of $\bar{\lambda}$ under this splitting, then $W=V_{\lambda}$. In this context we can identify $T_{x} W=J_{d}^{F, \lambda}(8), V_{x}=\bigoplus_{q=\frac{n}{2}+1}^{n} R_{d(q+1)-n-2}^{F}$ and $d \sigma_{x}=\cdot P_{\lambda}$. The computation of the degree $d+(d-2)\left(\frac{n}{2}+1\right)$ piece of $q=q_{\sigma, x}$ under these identifications was done by Maclean [Mac05, Theorem 7] as follows.

Theorem 2.1 (Maclean). The degree $r:=d+(d-2)\left(\frac{n}{2}+1\right)$ piece of the fundamental quadratic form is $\left.q\right|_{\operatorname{Sym}^{2}\left(J_{d}^{F, \lambda}\right)}$ where

$$
q: \operatorname{Sym}^{2}\left(J^{F, \lambda}\right) \rightarrow R^{F} /\left\langle P_{\lambda}\right\rangle
$$

is the bilinear form given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(G, H)=\sum_{i=0}^{n+1}\left(H \frac{\partial Q_{i}}{\partial x_{i}}-R_{i} \frac{\partial G}{\partial x_{i}}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
G \cdot P_{\lambda}=\sum_{i=0}^{n+1} Q_{i} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{i}} \quad \text { and } \quad H \cdot P_{\lambda}=\sum_{i=0}^{n+1} R_{i} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{i}}
$$

Remark 2.3. In particular $q(\cdot, H)=0$ for any $H \in J^{F}$.

## 3 Periods of join of algebraic cycles

In this section we compute the periods of joins of algebraic cycles. Then we use this information to relate the cycle class and Artinian Gorenstein ideals of them. In particular, we prove Theorem 1.2. Let us recall the context we are working in.

We start with $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ odd dimensional (i.e. $n$ even), and two odd dimensional (i.e. $k$ is also even) linear subspaces $\mathbb{P}^{k+1}, \mathbb{P}^{n-k-1} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ such that $\mathbb{P}^{k+1} \cap \mathbb{P}^{n-k-1}=\varnothing$. Inside them we have the smooth degree $d$ hypersurfaces $X_{1}:=\{f(x)=0\} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{k+1}, X_{2}:=\{g(y)=0\} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{n-k-1}$ and

$$
X:=\{f(x)+g(y)=0\} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{n+1}
$$

Each hypersurface contains a half dimensional algebraic cycle $Z_{1} \in \mathrm{CH}^{\frac{k}{2}}\left(X_{1}\right), Z_{2} \in \mathrm{CH}^{\frac{n-k-2}{2}}\left(X_{2}\right)$ and their join $J\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right) \in \mathrm{CH}^{\frac{n}{2}}(X)$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2 In order to avoid confusion let $u=\left(u_{0}: \cdots: u_{k+1}\right)$ be the coordinates of $\mathbb{P}^{k+1}, v=\left(v_{0}: \cdots: v_{n-k-1}\right)$ be the coordinates of $\mathbb{P}^{n-k-1}$ and $(x: y)=\left(x_{0}: \cdots: x_{k+1}: y_{0}\right.$ : $\left.\cdots: y_{n-k-1}\right)$ be the coordinates of $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$. Since (4) is independent of the choice of coordinates for $\mathbb{P}^{k+1}$ and $\mathbb{P}^{n-k-1}$, we can assume by Bertini's theorem that $X_{1} \cap\left\{u_{0}=0\right\}$ and $X_{2} \cap\left\{v_{0}=0\right\}$ are smooth hyperplane sections. By the bilinearity of (4) we can reduce ourselves to the case of monomials $P(x)=x^{\alpha}$ and $Q(y)=y^{\beta}$. Let us treat first the case where $\operatorname{deg}\left(x^{\alpha}\right)=(d-2)\left(\frac{k}{2}+1\right)$ and $\operatorname{deg}\left(y^{\beta}\right)=(d-2)\left(\frac{n-k}{2}\right)$. Let us denote

$$
\begin{gathered}
\omega_{\alpha \beta}:=\operatorname{res}\left(\frac{x^{\alpha} y^{\beta} \Omega}{(f(x)+g(y))^{\frac{n}{2}+1}}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}} \in H^{\frac{n}{2}}\left(X, \Omega_{X}^{\frac{n}{2}}\right), \\
\omega_{\alpha}:=\operatorname{res}\left(\frac{u^{\alpha} \Omega^{\prime}}{f(u)^{\frac{k}{2}+1}}\right)^{\frac{k}{2} \frac{k}{2}} \in H^{\frac{k}{2}}\left(X_{1}, \Omega_{X_{1}}^{\frac{k}{2}}\right), \\
\omega_{\beta}:=\operatorname{res}\left(\frac{v^{\beta} \Omega^{\prime \prime}}{g(v)^{\frac{n-k}{2}}}\right)^{\frac{n-k-2}{2}, \frac{n-k-2}{2}} \in H^{\frac{n-k-2}{2}}\left(X_{2}, \Omega_{X_{2}}^{\frac{n-k-2}{2}}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Consider the birational map

$$
\begin{gathered}
\varphi: \mathbb{P}^{k+1} \times \mathbb{P}^{n-k-1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n+1} \\
\varphi(u, v, t)=\left(t_{0} v_{0} u: t_{1} u_{0} v\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

whose indeterminacy locus is given by $C_{0} \cup C_{1} \cup C_{2}$ for

$$
C_{0}=\left\{u_{0}=v_{0}=0\right\}, \quad C_{1}=\left\{u_{0}=t_{0}=0\right\}, \quad C_{2}=\left\{v_{0}=t_{1}=0\right\}
$$

Let $\mathbb{P}_{\Sigma}$ be the projective simplicial toric variety obtained by successively blowing-up $C_{0}, C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$


Let us denote their Cox rings by

$$
\begin{aligned}
S\left(\mathbb{P}^{n+1}\right) & =\mathbb{C}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{k+1}, y_{0}, \ldots, y_{n-k-1}\right] \\
S\left(\mathbb{P}^{k+1} \times \mathbb{P}^{n-k-1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}\right) & =\mathbb{C}\left[u_{0}, \ldots, u_{k+1}, v_{0}, \ldots, v_{n-k-1}, t_{0}, t_{1}\right] \\
S\left(\mathbb{P}_{\Sigma}\right) & =\mathbb{C}\left[a_{0}, \ldots, a_{k+1}, b_{0}, \ldots, b_{n-k-1}, s_{0}, s_{1}, e_{0}, e_{1}, e_{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

hence we have the identifications induced by $\varphi$ and $\pi$

$$
\begin{gathered}
x_{0}=t_{0} u_{0} v_{0}, \ldots, \quad x_{k+1}=t_{0} u_{k+1} v_{0} \\
y_{0}=t_{1} u_{0} v_{0}, \ldots, y_{n-k-1}=t_{1} u_{0} v_{n-k-1} \\
u_{0}=a_{0} e_{0} e_{1}, u_{1}=a_{1}, \ldots, \quad u_{k+1}=a_{k+1} \\
v_{0}=b_{0} e_{0} e_{2}, \quad v_{1}=b_{1}, \ldots, v_{n-k-1}=b_{n-k-1} \\
t_{0}=s_{0} e_{1}, t_{1}=s_{1} e_{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

In order to understand the fan of $\mathbb{P}_{\Sigma}$ let us write first the primitive generators of the rays corresponding to each variable. Let $M_{1}, M_{2}$ and $M_{3}$ be the character lattices of $\mathbb{P}^{k+1}, \mathbb{P}^{n-k-1}$ and $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ respectively. Let $N_{i}:=M_{i}^{\vee}$ be the dual lattice. Then, the primitive generators of the rays of $\Sigma$ belong to $N:=N_{1} \oplus N_{2} \oplus N_{3}$. Let us denote by $\left\{r_{j}^{(i)}\right\}_{j}$ the canonical basis of $N_{i}$, then the primitive generators of the rays of $\Sigma(1)$ correspond to

$$
\begin{gathered}
\rho_{a_{i}}=\left(r_{i}^{(1)}, 0,0\right), \quad \rho_{a_{0}}=-\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \rho_{a_{i}}, \quad \rho_{b_{j}}=\left(0, r_{j}^{(2)}, 0\right), \quad \rho_{b_{0}}=-\sum_{j=1}^{n-k-1} \rho_{b_{j}} \\
\rho_{s_{1}}=\left(0,0, r_{1}^{(3)}\right), \quad \rho_{s_{0}}=-\rho_{s_{1}}, \quad \rho_{e_{0}}=\rho_{a_{0}}+\rho_{b_{0}}, \quad \rho_{e_{1}}=\rho_{a_{0}}+\rho_{s_{0}}, \quad \rho_{e_{2}}=\rho_{b_{0}}+\rho_{s_{1}}
\end{gathered}
$$

for $i=1, \ldots, k+1$ and $j=1, \ldots, n-k-1$. In order to describe the (maximal) cones of $\Sigma(n+1)$, we write the generators of its irrelevant ideal as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
B(\Sigma)= & \left\langle\left\{ a_{0} a_{i} b_{0} b_{j} s_{0} e_{1}, a_{0} a_{i} b_{j} s_{0} s_{1} e_{1}, a_{0} a_{i} b_{j} s_{1} e_{1} e_{2}, a_{i} b_{0} b_{j} s_{0} e_{1} e_{2}, a_{0} a_{i} b_{0} b_{j} s_{1} e_{2}\right.\right. \\
& a_{i} b_{0} b_{j} s_{0} s_{1} e_{2}, a_{0} b_{0} b_{j} s_{0} e_{0} e_{1}, a_{0} b_{j} s_{0} s_{1} e_{0} e_{1}, a_{0} b_{j} s_{1} e_{0} e_{1} e_{2}, a_{i} b_{0} s_{0} e_{0} e_{1} e_{2} \\
& \left.\left.\left.a_{0} a_{i} b_{0} s_{1} e_{0} e_{2}, a_{i} b_{0} s_{0} s_{1} e_{0} e_{2}, a_{0} b_{0} s_{0} e_{0} e_{1} e_{2}, a_{0} b_{0} s_{1} e_{0} e_{1} e_{2}\right\}_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq}}^{i \leq n+1}\right\rangle \begin{array}{r}
k-k-1
\end{array}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $Y \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{\Sigma}$ be the strict transform of $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{p+1}$ under the birational morphism $\widetilde{\varphi}$. In particular

$$
Y=\left\{F:=\left(s_{0} b_{0}\right)^{d} f(u)+\left(s_{1} a_{0}\right)^{d} g(v)=0\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{\Sigma}
$$

is a smooth hypersurface (here we use that $X_{1} \cap\left\{u_{0}=0\right\}$ and $X_{2} \cap\left\{v_{0}=0\right\}$ are smooth). Let $W \in \mathrm{CH}^{\frac{n}{2}}(Y)$ be the strict transform of $J\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right) \in \mathrm{CH}^{\frac{n}{2}}(X)$. Since $\pi_{*}(W)=Z_{1} \times Z_{2} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$, in order to obtain (4) it is enough to check that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\frac{n}{2}!}{\frac{k}{2}!\cdot \frac{n-k-2}{2}!} \cdot \int_{W} \widetilde{\varphi}^{*} \omega_{\alpha \beta}=-\int_{W} \pi^{*}\left(\operatorname{pr}_{1}^{*} \omega_{\alpha} \cup \operatorname{pr}_{2}^{*} \omega_{\beta} \cup \operatorname{pr}_{3}^{*} \theta\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\theta \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}^{1}\right)$ the polarization (whose period is $\left.2 \pi i\right)$. Let

$$
X_{1,2}:=\{f(x)=g(y)=0\} \subseteq X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{n+1}
$$

which is a smooth complete intersection of bi-degree $(d, d)$, and $J\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right) \in \mathrm{CH}^{\frac{n}{2}}\left(X_{1,2}\right)$. Since the open sets $V_{j}:=\left\{x_{j} \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_{j}} \neq 0\right\}$ and $V_{\ell}^{\prime}:=\left\{y_{\ell} \frac{\partial g(y)}{\partial y_{\ell}} \neq 0\right\}$ cover $X_{1,2}$ for $j=0, \ldots, k$ and $\ell=0, \ldots, n-k-2$, we can assume by the moving lemma that $J\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right)$ is supported in a collection of smooth subvarieties of $X_{1,2}$ contained in $\bigcup_{j=0}^{\frac{k}{2}} U_{j} \cup \bigcup_{\ell=0}^{\frac{n-k-2}{2}} V_{\ell}$. Let us denote by $W_{0} \subseteq Y$ the strict transform of any of such subvarieties. Thus, in order to prove (10) it is enough to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{\frac{n}{2}!}{\frac{k}{2}!\cdot \frac{n-k-2}{2}!} \cdot \widetilde{\varphi}^{*} \omega_{\alpha \beta}\right|_{W_{0}}=-\left.\pi^{*}\left(\operatorname{pr}_{1}^{*} \omega_{\alpha} \cup \operatorname{pr}_{2}^{*} \omega_{\beta} \cup \operatorname{pr}_{3}^{*} \theta\right)\right|_{W_{0}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $H_{\mathrm{dR}}^{n}\left(W_{0}, \mathbb{C}\right) \simeq H^{\frac{n}{2}}\left(W_{0}, \Omega_{W_{0}}^{\frac{n}{2}}\right)$. We can compute the left hand side of (11) using a toric version of a theorem due to Carlson and Griffiths [VL23, Theorem 8.1] which computes the residue map in Cech cohomology relative to the Jacobian cover $\mathcal{U}=\left\{U_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{n+6}$ of $Y$, where $U_{i}=\left\{F_{i} \neq 0\right\}$ and $F_{i}$ are the partial derivatives of $F$ with respect to the homogeneous coordinates of $\mathbb{P}_{\Sigma}$. Let us denote by $\Omega^{\prime \prime \prime}$ the standard top form of $\mathbb{P}_{\Sigma}$. Since $\widetilde{\varphi}^{*} \Omega=-e_{0} e_{1} e_{2} u_{0}^{n-k} v_{0}^{k+2} t_{0}^{k+1} t_{1}^{n-k-1} \Omega^{\prime \prime \prime}$, we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
\widetilde{\varphi}^{*} \omega_{\alpha \beta}=\operatorname{res}\left(\frac{\left(t_{0} v_{0}\right)^{(d-2)\left(\frac{k}{2}+1\right)}\left(t_{1} u_{0}\right)^{(d-2)\left(\frac{n-k}{2}\right)} u^{\alpha} v^{\beta} \widetilde{\varphi}^{*} \Omega}{\left(e_{0} e_{1} e_{2}\right)^{n+2} F^{\frac{n}{2}+1}}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}}  \tag{12}\\
=-\operatorname{res}\left(\frac{s_{0}^{d\left(\frac{k}{2}+1\right)-1} s_{1}^{d\left(\frac{n-k}{2}\right)-1} a_{0}^{d\left(\frac{n-k}{2}\right)} b_{0}^{d\left(\frac{k}{2}+1\right)} e_{0} u^{\alpha} v^{\beta} \Omega^{\prime \prime \prime}}{F^{\frac{n}{2}+1}}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}} \\
=\frac{-1}{\frac{n}{2}!}\left\{\frac{s_{0}^{d\left(\frac{k}{2}+1\right)-1} s_{1}^{d\left(\frac{n-k}{2}\right)-1} a_{0}^{d\left(\frac{n-k}{2}\right)} b_{0}^{d\left(\frac{k}{2}+1\right)} e_{0} u^{\alpha} v^{\beta} \Omega_{J}^{\prime \prime \prime}}{F_{J}}\right\}_{|J|=\frac{n}{2}+1} \in H^{\frac{n}{2}}\left(\mathcal{U}, \Omega_{Y}^{\frac{n}{2}}\right),
\end{gather*}
$$

where we are using the notation from [VL23]. On the other hand we have

$$
\left.\pi^{*} \operatorname{pr}_{1}^{*} \omega_{\alpha}\right|_{W_{0}}=\frac{1}{\frac{k}{2}!}\left\{\frac{u^{\alpha} \Omega_{K}^{\prime}}{f_{K}}\right\}_{|K|=\frac{k}{2}+1} \in H^{\frac{k}{2}}\left(\pi^{-1} \operatorname{pr}_{1}^{-1} \mathcal{U}_{1}, \Omega_{W_{0}}^{\frac{k}{2}}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left.\pi^{*} \operatorname{pr}_{2}^{*} \omega_{\beta}\right|_{W_{0}}=\frac{1}{\frac{n-k-2}{2}!}\left\{\frac{v^{\beta} \Omega_{L}^{\prime \prime}}{g_{L}}\right\}_{|L|=\frac{n-k}{2}} \in H^{\frac{n-k-2}{2}}\left(\pi^{-1} \operatorname{pr}_{2}^{-1} \mathcal{U}_{2}, \Omega_{W_{0}}^{\frac{n-k-2}{2}}\right) \\
\left.\pi^{*} \operatorname{pr}_{3}^{*} \theta\right|_{W_{0}}=\frac{t_{0} d t_{1}-t_{1} d t_{0}}{t_{0} t_{1}} \in H^{1}\left(\pi^{-1} \operatorname{pr}_{3}^{-1} \mathcal{U}_{3}, \Omega_{W_{0}}^{1}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\mathcal{U}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{U}_{2}$ are the Jacobian covers of $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ respectively, while $\mathcal{U}_{3}$ is the standard open cover of $\mathbb{P}^{1}$. When restricted to $W_{0}$, the coverings $\pi^{-1} \mathrm{pr}_{1}^{-1} \mathcal{U}_{1}, \pi^{-1} \mathrm{pr}_{2}^{-1} \mathcal{U}_{2}$ and $\pi^{-1} \mathrm{pr}_{3}^{-1} \mathcal{U}_{3}$ admit a common refinement $\mathcal{V}=\left\{V_{(j, \ell, r)}\right\}_{(j, \ell, r)}=\left\{V_{(j, 0,0)}\right\}_{j=0}^{\frac{k}{2}} \cup\left\{V_{(0, \ell, 1)}\right\}_{\ell=0}^{\frac{n-k-2}{2}}$ where

$$
V_{(j, 0,0)}=\left\{u_{j} f_{j}(u) v_{0} t_{0} \neq 0\right\}=\widetilde{\varphi}^{-1} V_{j} \quad \text { and } \quad V_{(0, \ell, 1)}=\left\{u_{0} v_{\ell} g_{\ell}(v) t_{1} \neq 0\right\}=\widetilde{\varphi}^{-1} V_{\ell}^{\prime}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{k}{2}!\cdot \frac{n-k-2}{2}!\cdot\left(\left.\left.\left.\pi^{*} \operatorname{pr}_{1}^{*} \omega_{\alpha}\right|_{W_{0}} \cup \pi^{*} \operatorname{pr}_{2}^{*} \omega_{\beta}\right|_{W_{0}} \cup \pi^{*} \operatorname{pr}_{3}^{*} \theta\right|_{W_{0}}\right)_{\left(j_{1}, \ell_{1}, r_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(j_{\frac{n}{2}+1}, \ell_{\frac{n}{2}+1}, r_{\frac{n}{2}+1}\right)}= \\
(-1)^{\frac{n k}{4}+\frac{n}{2}+1} \cdot \frac{u^{\alpha} v^{\beta} \Omega_{\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{\frac{k}{2}+1}\right)}^{\prime} \wedge \Omega_{\left(\ell_{\frac{k}{2}+1}, \ldots, \ell_{\frac{n}{2}}\right)}^{\prime \prime} \wedge\left(t_{r_{\frac{n}{2}}} d t_{r_{\frac{n}{2}+1}}-t_{r_{\frac{n}{2}+1}} d t_{r_{\frac{n}{2}}}\right.}{f_{\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{\frac{k}{2}+1}\right)}(u) g_{\left(\ell_{\frac{k}{2}+1}, \ldots, \ell_{\frac{n}{2}}\right)}(v) t_{r_{\frac{n}{2}}} t_{r_{\frac{n}{2}+1}}}
\end{gathered}
$$

in Cech cohomology relative to the cover $\mathcal{V}$. We remark that the above formula for the cup product in Cech cohomology is well defined only for ordered tuples of indexes (the other tuples are defined by skew-symmetric extension), and the cohomology class is independent of this choice of the ordering. We will order the tuples $(j, \ell, r)$ lexicographically but with decreasing order in each entry. Then for an ordered set of tuples

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{k}{2}!\cdot \frac{n-k-2}{2}!\cdot\left(\left.\pi^{*}\left(\operatorname{pr}_{1}^{*} \omega_{\alpha} \cup \operatorname{pr}_{2}^{*} \omega_{\beta} \cup \operatorname{pr}_{3}^{*} \theta\right)\right|_{W_{0}}\right)_{\left(j_{1}, \ell_{1}, r_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(j_{\frac{n}{2}+1}, \ell_{\frac{n}{2}+1}, r_{\frac{n}{2}+1}\right)}=  \tag{13}\\
(-1)^{\frac{n k}{4}+\frac{n}{2}+1} \cdot \frac{u^{\alpha} v^{\beta} \pi^{*}\left(\Omega_{\left(\frac{k}{2}, \ldots, 0\right)}^{\prime}\right) \wedge \pi^{*}\left(\Omega_{\left(\frac{n-k-2}{\prime}, \ldots, 0\right)}^{\prime \prime}\right) \wedge\left(s_{1} e_{2} d\left(s_{0} e_{1}\right)-s_{0} e_{1} d\left(s_{1} e_{2}\right)\right)}{f_{\left(0, \ldots, \frac{k}{2}\right)}(u) g_{\left(0, \ldots, \frac{n-k-2}{2}\right)}(v) s_{0} s_{1} e_{1} e_{2}}
\end{gather*}
$$

if $\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{\frac{k}{2}+1}\right)=\left(\frac{k}{2}, \ldots, 0\right),\left(\ell_{\frac{k}{2}+1}, \ldots, \ell_{\frac{n}{2}}\right)=\left(\frac{n-k-2}{2}, \ldots, 0\right)$ and $\left(r_{\frac{n}{2}}, r_{\frac{n}{2}+1}\right)=(1,0)$, and is zero otherwise. Now it is routine to verify (11) in the open covering $\mathcal{V}$ (which is a sub-covering of $\left.\mathcal{U}\right|_{W_{0}}$ ) using (12) and (13).

For the case where $\operatorname{deg}\left(x^{\alpha}\right) \neq(d-2)\left(\frac{k}{2}+1\right)$, let $r:=\operatorname{deg}\left(x^{\alpha}\right)-(d-2)\left(\frac{k}{2}+1\right)$. By the same argument as above, it is enough for us to show that

$$
\left.\widetilde{\varphi}^{*} \omega_{\alpha \beta}\right|_{W_{0}}=0 \in H^{\frac{n}{2}}\left(\mathcal{V}, \Omega_{W_{0}}^{\frac{n}{2}}\right) .
$$

Using (12) in this covering we can write

$$
\left.\widetilde{\varphi}^{*} \omega_{\alpha \beta}\right|_{W_{0}}=\left.\pi^{*}\left(\operatorname{pr}_{12}^{*} \eta \cup \operatorname{pr}_{3}^{*} \widetilde{\theta}\right)\right|_{W_{0}}
$$

for $\eta \in H^{\frac{n}{2}-1}\left(\operatorname{pr}_{12}(\mathcal{V}),\left.\Omega_{X_{1} \times X_{2}}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}\right|_{\operatorname{pr}_{12}\left(W_{0}\right)}\right)$ given by

$$
\eta_{\left(j_{1}, \ell_{1}, r_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(j_{\frac{n}{2}}, \ell_{\frac{n}{2}}^{2}, r_{\frac{n}{2}}\right)}=\left(\frac{v_{0}}{u_{0}}\right)^{r} \cdot \frac{u^{\alpha} v^{\beta} \Omega_{\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{\frac{k}{2}+1}\right)}^{\prime} \wedge \Omega_{\left(\ell_{\frac{k}{2}+1}, \ldots, \ell_{\frac{n}{2}}\right.}^{\prime \prime}}{\left.f_{\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{\frac{k}{2}+1}\right)}\right)(u) g_{\left(\ell_{\frac{k}{2}+1}, \ldots, \ell_{\frac{n}{2}}\right)}(v)}
$$

where each open set of the covering $\operatorname{pr}_{12}(\mathcal{V})=\left\{T_{(j, \ell, r)}\right\}=\left\{T_{(j, 0,0)}\right\}_{j=0}^{\frac{k}{2}} \cup\left\{T_{(0, \ell, 1)}\right\}_{\ell=0}^{\frac{n-k-2}{2}}$ is of the form $T_{(j, 0,0)}=\left\{u_{j} v_{0} f_{j}(u) \neq 0\right\}$ or $T_{(0, \ell, 1)}=\left\{u_{0} v_{\ell} g_{\ell}(v) \neq 0\right\}$. And where

$$
\widetilde{\theta}=\left(\frac{t_{0}}{t_{1}}\right)^{r} \theta \in H^{1}\left(\mathcal{U}_{3}, \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}^{1}\right) .
$$

The result follows since $\widetilde{\theta}=0$ for $r \neq 0$.

## 4 Cycle class and Hodge loci of join algebraic cycles

In this section we translate the periods relation of Theorem 1.2 into relations of the corresponding cycle classes and Hodge loci in the context of join algebraic cycles. The first relation is the content of Theorem 1.1 which we prove in the following.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 Applying [VL22a, Proposition 6.1] to Theorem 1.2 we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=\frac{\frac{n}{2}!\cdot d}{\frac{k}{2}!\cdot \frac{n-k-2}{2}!} c_{1} c_{2} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c, c_{1}, c_{2} \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$are the unique complex numbers such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{(-1)^{\frac{n}{2}+1 \frac{n}{2}!}}{d} P Q P_{J\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right)} \equiv c \cdot \operatorname{det}(\operatorname{Hess}(f+g)) \quad\left(\bmod J^{f+g}\right) \\
\frac{(-1)^{\frac{k}{2}+1} \frac{k}{2}!}{d} P P_{Z_{1}} \equiv c_{1} \cdot \operatorname{det}(\operatorname{Hess}(f)) \quad\left(\bmod J^{f}\right) \\
\frac{(-1)^{\frac{n-k}{2}} \frac{n-k-2}{2}!}{d} Q P_{Z_{2}} \equiv c_{2} \cdot \operatorname{det}(\operatorname{Hess}(g))\left(\bmod J^{g}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

for $P \in \mathbb{C}[x]_{(d-2)\left(\frac{k}{2}+1\right)}$ and $Q \in \mathbb{C}[y]_{(d-2)\left(\frac{n-k}{2}\right)}$. Since $R^{f+g}=R^{f} \otimes R^{g}$ and $\operatorname{det}(\operatorname{Hess}(f+g))=$ $\operatorname{det}(\operatorname{Hess}(f)) \cdot \operatorname{det}(\operatorname{Hess}(g))$ it follows that

$$
P Q P_{J\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right)} \equiv P Q P_{Z_{1}} P_{Z_{2}} \quad\left(\bmod J^{f+g}\right)
$$

for all $P \in \mathbb{C}[x]_{(d-2)\left(\frac{k}{2}+1\right)}$ and $Q \in \mathbb{C}[y]_{(d-2)\left(\frac{n-k}{2}\right)}$. In particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\alpha} y^{\beta}\left(P_{J\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right)}-P_{Z_{1}} P_{Z_{2}}\right)=0 \in R^{f+g} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all monomials such that $\operatorname{deg}\left(x^{\alpha}\right)=(d-2)\left(\frac{k}{2}+1\right)$ and $\operatorname{deg}\left(y^{\beta}\right)=(d-2)\left(\frac{n-k}{2}\right)$. On the other hand if $\operatorname{deg}\left(x^{\alpha}\right)>(d-2)\left(\frac{k}{2}+1\right)$ then $x^{\alpha} P_{Z_{1}}=0 \in R^{f+g}$ and similarly if $\operatorname{deg}\left(y^{\beta}\right)>(d-2)\left(\frac{n-k}{2}\right)$ then $y^{\beta} P_{Z_{2}}=0 \in R^{f+g}$. Hence, it follows from the second part of Theorem 1.2 that (15) holds for any monomial of degree $\operatorname{deg}\left(x^{\alpha} y^{\beta}\right)=(d-2)\left(\frac{n}{2}+1\right)$. Since $R^{f+g}$ is Artinian Gorenstein of socle in degree $(d-2)(n+2)$ we obtain (2).

Now if an element $T \in R_{e}^{f+g}$ is zero in $R^{f+g, \delta}=R^{f,\left[Z_{1}\right]} \otimes R^{g,\left[Z_{2}\right]}$ then

$$
T=\sum_{i=0}^{e} T_{i}(x) \cdot \check{T}_{e-i}(y)
$$

where $T_{i}(x) \in R_{i}^{f}, \check{T}_{e-i}(y) \in R_{e-i}^{g}$ and for each $i=0, \ldots, e$, we have $T_{i} \in\left(J^{f}: P_{Z_{1}}\right)$ or $\check{T}_{e-i} \in\left(J^{g}: P_{Z_{2}}\right)$. Hence such a $T$ satisfies that $T \cdot P_{Z_{1}} \cdot P_{Z_{2}}=0 \in R^{f+g}$ and so

$$
J^{f+g, \delta} \subseteq\left(J^{f+g}, P_{Z_{1}} \cdot P_{Z_{2}}\right)=J^{f+g,\left[J\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right)\right]} .
$$

Since both are Artinian Gorenstein ideals of socle in degree $(d-2)\left(\frac{n}{2}+1\right)$, they are equal and (3) follows from Remark 2.1.

In Section 2.2 we recalled the quadratic fundamental form, which is a second order invariant of the Hodge loci that vanishes when the corresponding Hodge locus is smooth and reduced. As a consequence of Theorem 1.1 we can relate the quadratic fundamental form of $V_{\left[J\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right)\right]}$ with those of $V_{\left[Z_{1}\right]}$ and $V_{\left[Z_{2}\right]}$ as in Theorem 1.3, which we prove in the following.

Proof of Theorem 1.3 Write

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{1} \cdot P_{Z_{1}} & =\sum_{i=0}^{k+1} Q_{i}(x) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}},
\end{aligned} G_{2} \cdot P_{Z_{2}}=\sum_{j=0}^{n-k-1} R_{j}(y) \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_{j}}, ~ \begin{array}{ll}
H_{1} \cdot P_{Z_{1}}=\sum_{i=0}^{k+1} S_{i}(x) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}}, \quad H_{2} \cdot P_{Z_{2}}=\sum_{j=0}^{n-k-1} T_{j}(y) \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_{j}}
\end{array}
$$

then it follows by (9) that

$$
\begin{gathered}
q(G, H)=A_{1} B_{1} P_{Z_{2}} q_{1}\left(G_{1}, H_{1}\right)+A_{2} B_{2} P_{Z_{1}} q_{2}\left(G_{2}, H_{2}\right) \\
+B_{1} P_{Z_{2}} \sum_{i=0}^{k+1}\left(H_{1} Q_{i}-G_{1} S_{i}\right) \frac{\partial A_{1}}{\partial x_{i}}+B_{2} P_{Z_{1}} \sum_{j=0}^{n-k-1}\left(H_{2} R_{j}-G_{2} T_{j}\right) \frac{\partial A_{2}}{\partial y_{j}} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Note that $\sum_{i=0}^{k+1}\left(H_{1} Q_{i}-G_{1} S_{i}\right) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}}=0$ and $\sum_{j=0}^{n-k-1}\left(H_{2} R_{j}-G_{2} T_{j}\right) \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_{j}}=0$. Since $\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{0}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{k+1}}\right)$ and $\left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial y_{0}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_{n-k-1}}\right)$ are regular sequences, it follows by the exactness of the Koszul complex that $H_{1} Q_{i}-G_{1} S_{i} \in J^{f}$ and $H_{2} R_{j}-G_{2} T_{j} \in J^{g}$, and so we obtain (6). From (6) we obtain (i) by a direct computation in the generators of $J^{f+g,\left[J\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right)\right]}$ which are generators of either $J^{f,\left[Z_{1}\right]}$ or $J^{g,\left[Z_{2}\right]}$ (by (3)).

In order to show (ii) consider $G=H=A(x, y) G_{1}(x)$ for any $\ell \leq e$ and any $G_{1} \in J_{\ell}^{f,\left[Z_{1}\right]}$. Then by (6)

$$
A^{2} \cdot P_{Z_{2}} \cdot q_{1}\left(G_{1}, G_{1}\right)=0 \in R^{f+g} /\left\langle P_{Z_{1}} \cdot P_{Z_{2}}\right\rangle
$$

for all $A \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]_{e-\ell}$. In particular, for any monomial $x^{\alpha} y^{\beta} \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]_{2(e-\ell)}$ we can write it as $x^{\alpha} y^{\beta}=A_{1} A_{2}$ with $A_{1}, A_{2} \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]_{e-\ell}$ and so
$x^{\alpha} y^{\beta} \cdot P_{Z_{2}} \cdot q_{1}\left(G_{1}, G_{1}\right)=\left(\frac{\left(A_{1}+A_{2}\right)^{2}}{4}-\frac{\left(A_{1}-A_{2}\right)^{2}}{4}\right) P_{Z_{2}} \cdot q_{1}\left(G_{1}, G_{1}\right)=0 \in R^{f+g} /\left\langle P_{Z_{1}} \cdot P_{Z_{2}}\right\rangle$.
From this, it follows in fact that for any $j \leq 2(e-\ell)$ and any two polynomials $Q(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]_{j}$ and $S(y) \in \mathbb{C}[y]_{2(e-\ell)-j}$

$$
Q \cdot S \cdot P_{Z_{2}} \cdot q_{1}\left(G_{1}, G_{1}\right)=0 \in R^{f+g} /\left\langle P_{Z_{1}} \cdot P_{Z_{2}}\right\rangle
$$

As $2(e-\ell)-j \leq(d-2)\left(\frac{n-k}{2}\right)$ we choose $S$ such that $S \cdot P_{Z_{2}} \notin J^{g}$, then there exists some $T(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ of bi-degree $(\ell+j, 2(e-\ell)-j)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{Z_{2}}\left(Q(x) \cdot S(y) \cdot q_{1}\left(G_{1}, G_{1}\right)-T(x, y) \cdot P_{Z_{1}}\right) \in J^{f+g} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Considering $S_{1}(y), \ldots, S_{t}(y) \in \mathbb{C}[y]_{2(e-\ell)-j}$ such that $\left\{S(y), S_{1}(y), \ldots, S_{t}(y)\right\}$ is a basis of $R_{2(e-\ell)-j}^{g}$ and $\left\{S_{1}(y), \ldots, S_{p}(y)\right\}$ is a basis of $\operatorname{ker}\left(R_{2(e-\ell)-j}^{g} \xrightarrow{\cdot P_{Z_{2}}} R_{2(e-\ell)+(d-2)\left(\frac{n-k}{2}\right)-j}^{g}\right)$ we can write

$$
T(x, y)=U(x) S(y)+\sum_{h=1}^{t} U_{h}(x) S_{h}(y) \in R^{f+g}
$$

Since $\left\{P_{Z_{2}} S(y), P_{Z_{2}} S_{p+1}(y), \ldots, P_{Z_{2}} S_{t}(y)\right\}$ is a basis of $R_{2(e-\ell)+(d-2)\left(\frac{n-k}{2}\right)-j}^{g}$ and $R^{f+g}=R^{f} \otimes$ $R^{g}$, then (16) is equivalent to have

$$
Q(x) \cdot q_{1}\left(G_{1}, G_{1}\right)-U(x) \cdot P_{Z_{1}}=0 \in R^{f}
$$

and $P_{Z_{1}} U_{h}(x)=0 \in R^{f}$ for all $h=p+1, \ldots, t$. Therefore $Q(x) \cdot q_{1}\left(G_{1}, G_{1}\right)=0 \in R^{f} /\left\langle P_{Z_{1}}\right\rangle$ for all $Q(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]_{j}$.

## 5 Examples in Fermat varieties

In this section we give examples of join algebraic cycles inside Fermat varieties, illustrating how we can use the join structure to simplify their study. We focus on combinations of two linear cycles inside low degrees Fermat varieties, whose corresponding Hodge locus is not known to be reduced.

Along this section $X:=\left\{F:=x_{0}^{d}+\cdots+x_{n+1}^{d}=0\right\}$ is the degree $d$ Fermat variety of even dimension $n$. Its automorphism group corresponds to $\operatorname{Aut}(X)=G \rtimes \mathfrak{S}_{n+2}$, where $\mathfrak{S}_{n+2}$ acts by permutation on the coordinates and $G=(\mathbb{Z} / d \mathbb{Z})^{n+2} / \operatorname{Im}\left(a \in \mathbb{Z} / d \mathbb{Z} \mapsto(a, \ldots, a) \in(\mathbb{Z} / d \mathbb{Z})^{n+2}\right) \simeq$ $(\mathbb{Z} / d \mathbb{Z})^{n+1}$ acts diagonally as

$$
g \cdot\left(x_{0}: \cdots: x_{n+1}\right)=\left(\zeta_{d}^{g_{0}} x_{0}: \cdots: \zeta_{d}^{g_{n+1}} x_{n+1}\right)
$$

where for any $k>0, \zeta_{k}$ denotes the $k$-th primitive root of unity $e^{\frac{2 \pi i}{k}}$. The Fermat variety contains several $\frac{n}{2}$-dimensional linear cycles, which are obtained as the orbit under the action of $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ on the cycle

$$
\mathbb{P}^{\frac{n}{2}}:=\left\{x_{0}-\zeta_{2 d} x_{1}=x_{2}-\zeta_{2 d} x_{3}=\cdots=x_{n}-\zeta_{2 d} x_{n+1}=0\right\}
$$

Example 5.1. Consider the zero dimensional Fermat variety $X_{0}=\left\{x_{0}^{d}+x_{1}^{d}=0\right\}$, and a point $Z_{0}=\left\{\left(\zeta_{2 d}: 1\right)\right\} \subseteq X_{0}$. Since this is a complete intersection cycle, it follows by [VL22a, Theorem 1.1] that the cycle class of $Z_{0}$ has primitive part

$$
\left[Z_{0}\right]_{\text {prim }}=\frac{-1}{d} \operatorname{res}\left(\frac{P_{Z_{0}}\left(x_{0} d x_{1}-x_{1} d x_{0}\right)}{x_{0}^{d}+x_{1}^{d}}\right)
$$

for the associated degree ( $d-2$ ) polynomial

$$
P_{Z_{0}}=d \zeta_{2 d}\left(\frac{x_{0}^{d-1}-\left(\zeta_{2 d} x_{1}\right)^{d-1}}{x_{0}-\zeta_{2 d} x_{1}}\right) .
$$

Consequently $J^{x_{0}^{d}+x_{1}^{d},\left[Z_{0}\right]}=\left\langle x_{0}-\zeta_{2 d} x_{1}, x_{1}^{d-1}\right\rangle$ and the quadratic fundamental form $q$ vanishes (by Remark 2.3 this is reduced to check that $q\left(x_{0}-\zeta_{2 d} x_{1}, x_{0}-\zeta_{2 d} x_{1}\right)=0$ ).

For higher dimensions, the Fermat polynomial $x_{0}^{d}+\cdots+x_{n+1}^{d}$ can be written as a sum of $\frac{n}{2}+1$ Fermat polynomials in two variables. Let $X_{i}=\left\{x_{2 i-2}^{d}+x_{2 i-1}^{d}=0\right\}$, and $Z_{i}=\left\{\left(\zeta_{2 d}: 1\right)\right\} \subseteq X_{i}$ for each $i=1, \ldots, \frac{n}{2}+1$, then

$$
\mathbb{P}^{\frac{n}{2}}=J\left(Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{\frac{n}{2}+1}\right)
$$

In consequence

$$
P_{\mathbb{P}^{\frac{n}{2}}}=d^{\frac{n}{2}+1} \zeta_{2 d}^{\frac{n}{2}+1} \prod_{i=1}^{\frac{n}{2}+1}\left(\frac{x_{2 i-2}^{d-1}-\left(\zeta_{2 d} x_{2 i-1}\right)^{d-1}}{x_{2 i-2}-\zeta_{2 d} x_{2 i-1}}\right)
$$

and so $J^{F,\left[\mathbb{P}^{\frac{n}{2}}\right]}=\left\langle x_{0}-\zeta_{2 d} x_{1}, x_{1}^{d-1}, \ldots, x_{n}-\zeta_{2 d} x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}^{d-1}\right\rangle$. By item (i) of Theorem 1.3 its quadratic fundamental form also vanishes. One can do similar computations for all other linear cycles in the Fermat variety.

Example 5.2. Let $-1 \leq m \leq \frac{n}{2}$ be an integer. Consider inside $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ the linear subvarieties

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbb{P}^{n-m}:=\left\{x_{n-2 m}-\zeta_{2 d} x_{n-2 m+1}=x_{n-2 m+2}-\zeta_{2 d} x_{n-2 m+3}=\cdots=x_{n}-\zeta_{2 d} x_{n+1}=0\right\}, \\
\mathbb{P}^{\frac{n}{2}}:=\left\{x_{0}-\zeta_{2 d} x_{1}=x_{2}-\zeta_{2 d} x_{3}=\cdots=x_{n-2 m-2}-\zeta_{2 d} x_{n-2 m-1}=0\right\} \cap \mathbb{P}^{n-m}, \\
\quad \check{\mathbb{P}}^{\frac{n}{2}}:=\left\{x_{0}-\zeta_{2 d}^{\alpha_{0}} x_{1}=\cdots=x_{n-2 m-2}-\zeta_{2 d}^{\alpha_{n-2 m-2}} x_{n-2 m-1}=0\right\} \cap \mathbb{P}^{n-m},
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{n-2 m-2} \in\{3,5, \ldots, 2 d-1\}$. Then

$$
\mathbb{P}^{m}:=\mathbb{P}^{\frac{n}{2}} \cap \check{\mathbb{P}}^{\frac{n}{2}}=\left\{x_{0}=x_{1}=x_{2}=x_{3}=\cdots=x_{n-2 m-1}=0\right\} \cap \mathbb{P}^{n-m} .
$$

It turns out that the linear combination $Z:=r \mathbb{P}^{\frac{n}{2}}+\check{r} \check{\mathbb{P}}^{\frac{n}{2}}$ of these two $\frac{n}{2}$-dimensional linear cycles is a join algebraic cycle, for all $r, \check{r} \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}$. In fact, inside each degree $d$ Fermat variety

$$
X_{1}:=\left\{f:=x_{0}^{d}+\cdots+x_{n-2 m-1}^{d}=0\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{n-2 m-1}
$$

and

$$
X_{2}:=\left\{g:=x_{n-2 m}^{d}+\cdots+x_{n+1}^{d}=0\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{2 m+1}
$$

we can consider the algebraic cycles $Z_{1} \in \mathrm{CH}^{\frac{n}{2}-m-1}\left(X_{1}\right)$ and $Z_{2} \in \mathrm{CH}^{m}\left(X_{2}\right)$ given by

$$
\begin{gathered}
Z_{1}:=r L+\check{r} \check{L}, \\
Z_{2}:=\left\{x_{n-2 m}-\zeta_{2 d} x_{n-2 m+1}=x_{n-2 m+2}-\zeta_{2 d} x_{n-2 m+3}=\cdots=x_{n}-\zeta_{2 d} x_{n+1}=0\right\} \subseteq X_{2},
\end{gathered}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
L:=\left\{x_{0}-\zeta_{2 d} x_{1}=x_{2}-\zeta_{2 d} x_{3}=\cdots=x_{n-2 m-2}-\zeta_{2 d} x_{n-2 m-1}=0\right\} \subseteq X_{1}, \\
\check{L}:=\left\{x_{0}-\zeta_{2 d}^{\alpha_{0}} x_{1}=x_{2}-\zeta_{2 d}^{\alpha_{2}} x_{3}=\cdots=x_{n-2 m-2}-\zeta_{2 d}^{\alpha_{n-2 m-2}} x_{n-2 m-1}=0\right\} \subseteq X_{1} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $\mathbb{P}^{\frac{n}{2}}=J\left(L, Z_{2}\right)$ and $\check{\mathbb{P}}^{\frac{n}{2}}=J\left(\check{L}, Z_{2}\right)$ then

$$
Z=r \mathbb{P}^{\frac{n}{2}}+\check{r} \check{P}^{\frac{n}{2}}=r J\left(L, Z_{2}\right)+\check{r} J\left(\check{L}, Z_{2}\right)=J\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right) \in \mathrm{CH}^{\frac{n}{2}}(X),
$$

where $X=\left\{F:=f+g=x_{0}^{d}+\cdots+x_{n+1}^{d}=0\right\}$ is the $n$-dimensional Fermat variety. By [VL22a, Theorem 1.3] the Hodge locus $V_{[Z]}$ satisfies

$$
V_{[Z]}=V_{\left[\mathbb{P}^{\frac{n}{2}}\right]} \cap V_{\left[\mathbb{P}^{\frac{n}{2}}\right]}
$$

whenever $d \geq 3$ and $m<\frac{n}{2}-\frac{d}{d-2}$. On the other hand, it follows from [Mov21, Propositions 17.8 and 17.9] that $V_{\left[\mathbb{P}^{\frac{n}{2}}\right]} \cap V_{\left[\mathbb{P}^{\frac{n}{2}}\right]}$ is smooth and reduced without restrictions on $d$ and $m$. In particular, for $d \geq 3$ and $m<\frac{n}{2}-\frac{d}{d-2}, V_{[Z]}$ is smooth and reduced. The cases not covered in [VL22a, Theorem 1.3] are: $(d, m)=\left(3, \frac{n}{2}-3\right)$, in which case Movasati conjectured $V_{[Z]}$ is smooth (see [Mov22]), $(d, m)=\left(3, \frac{n}{2}-2\right),\left(4, \frac{n}{2}-2\right)$ and $m=\frac{n}{2}-1$ with $r \neq \check{r}$. In this last case when $r=\check{r}$ the algebraic cycle $Z$ is a complete intersection and $V_{[Z]}$ parametrizes hypersurfaces containing a complete intersection of type $(1,1, \ldots, 1,2)$. In the recent article [Klo23] Kloosterman showed that if $(d, m)=\left(3, \frac{n}{2}-3\right), n \geq 10$ and $r \neq \check{r}$ then $V_{[Z]}$ is not smooth, disproving Movasati's conjecture. Moreover, he showed that when $r=\check{r}$ and $n \geq 4, V_{[Z]}$ is smooth. Similar results are obtained by Kloosterman in the cases $(d, m)=\left(3, \frac{n}{2}-2\right)$ and $\left(4, \frac{n}{2}-2\right)$. We will analyze each of the above cases separately, using the join description to determine their associated Artin Gorenstein ideals and corresponding quadratic fundamental forms.

Proposition 5.1. Consider the notation of Example 5.2. For $d=3, n \geq 4, m=\frac{n}{2}-3$, the Artinian Gorenstein ideal $J^{F,[Z]}$ associated to the algebraic cycle $Z$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
J^{F,[Z]}= & \left\langle\left\{x_{j}^{2}\right\}_{j=0}^{n+1},\left\{x_{2 j}-\zeta_{6} x_{2 j+1}\right\}_{j=3}^{\frac{n}{2}},\left\{x_{2 j} x_{2 j+1}\right\}_{j=0}^{2}, A_{1} x_{1} x_{3} x_{4}+A_{2} x_{1} x_{3} x_{5}\right. \\
& x_{0} x_{2}+B_{1} x_{1} x_{2}+B_{2} x_{1} x_{3}, x_{0} x_{3}+C_{1} x_{1} x_{2}+C_{2} x_{1} x_{3}, x_{0} x_{4}+D_{1} x_{1} x_{4}+D_{2} x_{1} x_{5} \\
& \left.x_{0} x_{5}+E_{1} x_{1} x_{4}+E_{2} x_{1} x_{5}, x_{2} x_{4}+F_{1} x_{3} x_{4}+F_{2} x_{3} x_{5}, x_{2} x_{5}+G_{1} x_{3} x_{4}+G_{2} x_{3} x_{5}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left(A_{1}: A_{2}\right)=\left(-r+\check{r} \zeta_{6}^{\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{4}}: r \zeta_{6}-\check{r} \zeta_{6}^{\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{2}+2 \alpha_{4}}\right) \in \mathbb{P}^{1}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{1} & =\frac{-\left(\zeta_{6}^{\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{2}}-\zeta_{6}\right)}{\zeta_{6}^{\alpha_{2}}-\zeta_{6}}, B_{2}=\frac{\zeta_{6}^{\alpha_{2}+1}\left(\zeta_{6}^{\alpha_{0}}-\zeta_{6}\right)}{\zeta_{6}^{\alpha_{2}}-\zeta_{6}}, C_{1}=\frac{-\left(\zeta_{6}^{\alpha_{0}}-\zeta_{6}\right)}{\zeta_{6}^{\alpha_{2}}-\zeta_{6}}, C_{2}=\frac{\zeta_{6}\left(\zeta_{6}^{\alpha_{0}}-\zeta_{6}^{\alpha_{2}}\right)}{\zeta_{6}^{\alpha_{2}}-\zeta_{6}}, \\
D_{1} & =\frac{-\left(\zeta_{6}^{\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{4}}-\zeta_{6}^{2}\right)}{\zeta_{6}^{\alpha_{4}}-\zeta_{6}}, D_{2}=\frac{\zeta_{6}^{\alpha_{4}+1}\left(\zeta_{6}^{\alpha_{0}}-\zeta_{6}\right)}{\zeta_{6}^{\alpha_{4}}-\zeta_{6}}, E_{1}=\frac{-\left(\zeta_{6}^{\alpha_{0}}-\zeta_{6}\right)}{\zeta_{6}^{\alpha_{4}}-\zeta_{6}}, E_{2}=\frac{\zeta_{6}\left(\zeta_{6}^{\alpha_{0}}-\zeta_{6}^{\alpha_{4}}\right)}{\zeta_{6}^{\alpha_{4}}-\zeta_{6}}, \\
F_{1} & =\frac{-\left(\zeta_{6}^{\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{4}}-\zeta_{6}^{2}\right)}{\zeta_{6}^{\alpha_{4}}-\zeta_{6}}, F_{2}=\frac{\zeta_{6}^{\alpha_{4}+1}\left(\zeta_{6}^{\alpha_{2}}-\zeta_{6}\right)}{\zeta_{6}^{\alpha_{4}}-\zeta_{6}}, G_{1}=\frac{-\left(\zeta_{6}^{\alpha_{2}}-\zeta_{6}\right)}{\zeta_{6}^{\alpha_{4}}-\zeta_{6}}, G_{2}=\frac{\zeta_{6}\left(\zeta_{6}^{\alpha_{2}}-\zeta_{6}^{\alpha_{4}}\right)}{\zeta_{6}^{\alpha_{4}}-\zeta_{6}}
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, the degree $k:=\frac{n}{2}+4$ piece of the quadratic fundamental form vanishes on $\operatorname{Sym}^{2}\left(J_{3}^{F,[Z]}\right)$.
Proof Since $Z=J\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right)$ is a join algebraic cycle, by Theorem 1.1 it is enough to compute $J^{f,\left[Z_{1}\right]}$ and $J^{g,\left[Z_{2}\right]}$. In Example 5.1 we already computed $J^{g,\left[Z_{2}\right]}$, and so we just need to show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
J^{f,\left[Z_{1}\right]}= & \left\langle x_{0}^{2}, x_{1}^{2}, x_{2}^{2}, x_{3}^{2}, x_{4}^{2}, x_{5}^{2}, x_{0} x_{1}, x_{2} x_{3}, x_{4} x_{5}, A_{1} x_{1} x_{3} x_{4}+A_{2} x_{1} x_{3} x_{5}\right. \\
& x_{0} x_{2}+B_{1} x_{1} x_{2}+B_{2} x_{1} x_{3}, x_{0} x_{3}+C_{1} x_{1} x_{2}+C_{2} x_{1} x_{3}, x_{0} x_{4}+D_{1} x_{1} x_{4}+D_{2} x_{1} x_{5} \\
& \left.x_{0} x_{5}+E_{1} x_{1} x_{4}+E_{2} x_{1} x_{5}, x_{2} x_{4}+F_{1} x_{3} x_{4}+F_{2} x_{3} x_{5}, x_{2} x_{5}+G_{1} x_{3} x_{4}+G_{2} x_{3} x_{5}\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the right hand side is contained in the left hand side. Assume that $A_{1} \neq 0$ (the case where $A_{1}=0$ is analogue), then the ideal generated by the leading terms in the lexicographic monomial ordering is

$$
\left\langle x_{0}^{2}, x_{1}^{2}, x_{2}^{2}, x_{3}^{2}, x_{4}^{2}, x_{5}^{2}, x_{0} x_{1}, x_{0} x_{2}, x_{0} x_{3}, x_{0} x_{4}, x_{0} x_{5}, x_{2} x_{3}, x_{2} x_{4}, x_{2} x_{5}, x_{4} x_{5}, x_{1} x_{3} x_{4}\right\rangle \subseteq \operatorname{LT}\left(J^{f,\left[Z_{1}\right]}\right) .
$$

Thus if we show that both monomial ideals have the same Hilbert function we are done (and in fact we conclude that the generators given above are a Gröbner basis of $J^{f,\left[Z_{1}\right]}$ ). To see this, note that for the left hand side monomial ideal, it is very easy to compute its Hilbert function, and in fact we see that the quotient ring has Hilbert function $1,6,6,1$, and 0 for degree bigger than 3 . On the other hand the Hilbert function of $R^{f,\left[Z_{1}\right]}$ is of the form $1, \ell, \ell, 1$ and 0 for degree bigger than 3 (since $J^{f, Z_{1}}$ is Artinian Gorenstein of socle in degree 3). Thus it is reduced to show that $\ell=6$. In other words, to show that $J_{1}^{f,\left[Z_{1}\right]}=0$. And this can be shown using [VL22a, Proposition 2.1]. The last statement about the quadratic fundamental form follows from Theorem 1.3 item (i) and a routine verification that the quadratic fundamental form $\left.q_{1}\right|_{\text {Sym }^{2}\left(J f,\left[Z_{1}\right]\right)}$ vanishes in degree $\leq 3$.

Proposition 5.2. In the context of Example 5.2 consider $d=3, n \geq 2$ and $m=\frac{n}{2}-2$. The Artinian Gorenstein ideal $J^{F,[Z]}$ associated to algebraic cycle $Z$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
J^{F,[Z]}= & \left\langle\left\{x_{j}^{2}\right\}_{j=0}^{2 n+1},\left\{x_{2 j}-\zeta_{6} x_{2 j+1}\right\}_{j=2}^{\frac{n}{2}}, x_{0} x_{1}, x_{2} x_{3}, A_{1} x_{1} x_{2}+A_{2} x_{1} x_{3},\right. \\
& \left.B_{1} x_{0} x_{2}+B_{2} x_{1} x_{2}+B_{3} x_{1} x_{3}, C_{1} x_{0} x_{3}+C_{2} x_{1} x_{2}+C_{3} x_{1} x_{3}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left(A_{1}: A_{2}\right)=\left(r \zeta_{6}^{2}+\check{r} \zeta_{6}^{\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{2}}: r-\check{r} \zeta_{6}^{\alpha_{0}+2 \alpha_{2}}\right) \in \mathbb{P}^{1}$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(B_{1}: B_{2}: B_{3}\right)= \begin{cases}\left(r \zeta_{6}^{2}+\check{r} \zeta_{6}^{\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{2}}: 0: r \zeta_{6}-\check{r} \zeta_{6}^{2\left(\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{2}\right)}\right) & \text { if } A_{1} \neq 0, \\
\left(r-\check{r} \zeta_{6}^{\alpha_{0}+2 \alpha_{2}}:-r \zeta_{6}+\check{r} \zeta_{6}^{2\left(\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{2}\right)}: 0\right) & \text { if } A_{1}=0,\end{cases} \\
\left(C_{1}: C_{2}: C_{3}\right)= \begin{cases}\left(r \zeta_{6}^{2}+\check{r} \zeta_{6}^{\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{2}}: 0: r-\check{r} \zeta_{6}^{2 \alpha_{0}+\alpha_{2}}\right) & \text { if } A_{1} \neq 0, \\
\left(r-\check{r} \zeta_{6}^{\alpha_{0}+2 \alpha_{2}}:-r+\check{r} \zeta_{6}^{2 \alpha_{0}+\alpha_{2}}: 0\right) & \text { if } A_{1}=0\end{cases}
\end{gathered}
$$

In particular, the degree $k:=\frac{n}{2}+4$ piece of the quadratic fundamental form vanishes.
Proof As in the proof of the previous proposition we just need to show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
J^{f,\left[Z_{1}\right]}= & \left\langle x_{0}^{2}, x_{1}^{2}, x_{2}^{2}, x_{3}^{2}, x_{0} x_{1}, x_{2} x_{3}, A_{1} x_{1} x_{2}+A_{2} x_{1} x_{3},\right. \\
& \left.B_{1} x_{0} x_{2}+B_{2} x_{1} x_{2}+B_{3} x_{1} x_{3}, C_{1} x_{0} x_{3}+C_{2} x_{1} x_{2}+C_{3} x_{1} x_{3}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

The right hand side ideal is clearly contained in $J^{f,\left[Z_{1}\right]}$, hence it is enough to show that both ideals have the same Hilbert function. If $A_{1} \neq 0$ then the leading terms ideal of the right hand side ideal is

$$
\left\langle x_{0}^{2}, x_{1}^{2}, x_{2}^{2}, x_{3}^{2}, x_{0} x_{1}, x_{2} x_{3}, x_{1} x_{2}, x_{0} x_{2}, x_{0} x_{3}\right\rangle
$$

whose quotient ring has Hilbert function equal to $1,4,1$ and 0 for degree bigger than 2 . If $A_{1}=0$, the leading terms ideal is

$$
\left\langle x_{0}^{2}, x_{1}^{2}, x_{2}^{2}, x_{3}^{2}, x_{0} x_{1}, x_{2} x_{3}, x_{1} x_{3}, x_{0} x_{2}, x_{0} x_{3}\right\rangle
$$

whose quotient ring also has Hilbert function equal to $1,4,1$ and 0 for degree bigger than 2. Thus we are reduced to show that $R^{f,\left[Z_{1}\right]}$ has the same Hilbert function. Since $J^{f,\left[Z_{1}\right]}$ is Artinian Gorenstein of socle in degree 2 we just need to show that $J_{1}^{f,\left[Z_{1}\right]}=0$, which follows from [VL22a, Proposition 2.1]. The statement about the quadratic fundamental form follows from Theorem 1.3 item (i) and the fact that $\left.q_{1}\right|_{\mathrm{Sym}^{2}\left(J^{\left.f,\left[Z_{1}\right]\right)}\right.}$ vanishes in degree $\leq 2$.

Proposition 5.3. In the context of Example 5.2 let $d=4, n \geq 2$ and $m=\frac{n}{2}-2$. The Artinian Gorenstein ideal $J^{F,[Z]}$ associated to the algebraic cycle $Z$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
J^{F,[Z]}= & \left\langle\left\{x_{2 j+1}^{3}\right\}_{j=0}^{\frac{n}{2}},\left\{x_{2 j}-\zeta_{8} x_{2 j+1}\right\}_{j=2}^{\frac{n}{2}}, x_{0} x_{1}^{2}, x_{2} x_{3}^{2}, A_{1} x_{1}^{2} x_{2} x_{3}+A_{2} x_{1}^{2} x_{3}^{2}\right. \\
& x_{0} x_{2}+B_{1} x_{1} x_{2}+B_{2} x_{1} x_{3}, x_{0} x_{3}+C_{1} x_{1} x_{2}+C_{2} x_{1} x_{3} \\
& \left.x_{0}^{2}+D_{1} x_{0} x_{1}+D_{2} x_{1}^{2}, x_{2}^{2}+E_{1} x_{2} x_{3}+E_{2} x_{3}^{2}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left(A_{1}: A_{2}\right)=\left(r \zeta_{8}^{2}+\check{r} \zeta_{8}^{\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{2}}:-\left(r \zeta_{8}^{3}+\check{r} \zeta_{8}^{\alpha_{0}+2 \alpha_{2}}\right)\right) \in \mathbb{P}^{1}$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
B_{1}=\frac{\zeta_{8}^{2}-\zeta_{8}^{\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{2}}}{\zeta_{8}^{\alpha_{2}}-\zeta_{8}}, B_{2}=\frac{\zeta_{8}\left(\zeta_{8}^{\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{2}}-\zeta_{8}^{\alpha_{2}+1}\right)}{\zeta_{8}^{\alpha_{2}}-\zeta_{8}}, C_{1}=\frac{\zeta_{8}-\zeta_{8}^{\alpha_{0}}}{\zeta_{8}^{\alpha_{2}}-\zeta_{8}}, C_{2}=\frac{\zeta_{8}\left(\zeta_{8}^{\alpha_{0}}-\zeta_{8}^{\alpha_{2}}\right)}{\zeta_{8}^{\alpha_{2}}-\zeta_{8}} \\
D_{1}=\frac{-\left(\zeta_{8}^{2\left(\alpha_{0}+1\right)}+1\right)}{\zeta_{8}^{2}\left(\zeta_{8}^{\alpha_{0}}-\zeta_{8}\right)}, D_{2}=\frac{\zeta_{8}^{\alpha_{0}}\left(1+\zeta_{8}^{\alpha_{0}+3}\right)}{\zeta_{8}^{2}\left(\zeta_{8}^{\alpha_{0}}-\zeta_{8}\right)}, E_{1}=\frac{-\left(\zeta_{8}^{2\left(\alpha_{2}+1\right)}+1\right)}{\zeta_{8}^{2}\left(\zeta_{8}^{\alpha_{2}}-\zeta_{8}\right)}, E_{2}=\frac{\zeta_{8}^{\alpha_{2}}\left(1+\zeta_{8}^{\alpha_{2}+3}\right)}{\zeta_{8}^{2}\left(\zeta_{8}^{\alpha_{2}}-\zeta_{8}\right)}
\end{gathered}
$$

In particular, the degree $k:=n+6$ piece of the quadratic fundamental form vanishes.
Proof As in the other cases, we are reduced to show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
J^{f,\left[Z_{1}\right]}= & \left\langle x_{1}^{3}, x_{3}^{3}, x_{0} x_{1}^{2}, x_{2} x_{3}^{2}, A_{1} x_{1}^{2} x_{2} x_{3}+A_{2} x_{1}^{2} x_{3}^{2}\right. \\
& x_{0} x_{2}+B_{1} x_{1} x_{2}+B_{2} x_{1} x_{3}, x_{0} x_{3}+C_{1} x_{1} x_{2}+C_{2} x_{1} x_{3} \\
& \left.x_{0}^{2}+D_{1} x_{0} x_{1}+D_{2} x_{1}^{2}, x_{2}^{2}+E_{1} x_{2} x_{3}+E_{2} x_{3}^{2}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

The right hand side ideal is clearly contained in $J^{f,\left[Z_{1}\right]}$. Let us assume that $A_{1} \neq 0$ (the case $A_{1}=0$ is analogue), taking the ideal generated by the leading terms in the lexicographical monomial ordering we get

$$
\left\langle x_{0}^{2}, x_{2}^{2}, x_{0} x_{2}, x_{0} x_{3}, x_{1}^{3}, x_{3}^{3}, x_{0} x_{1}^{2}, x_{2} x_{3}^{2}, x_{1}^{2} x_{2} x_{3}\right\rangle \subseteq \mathrm{LT}\left(J^{f, Z_{1}}\right)
$$

For the left monomial ideal, the quotient ring has Hilbert function $1,4,6,4,1$ and 0 for degree bigger than 4. Thus it is enough to show that $J_{1}^{f,\left[Z_{1}\right]}=0$ and $\operatorname{dim} J_{2}^{f,\left[Z_{1}\right]}=4$. For this we use again [VL22a, Proposition 2.1] and check that

$$
J_{1}^{f,\left[Z_{1}\right]}=\left\langle x_{0}-\zeta_{8} x_{1}, x_{2}-\zeta_{8} x_{3}\right\rangle_{1} \cap\left\langle x_{0}-\zeta_{8}^{\alpha_{0}} x_{1}, x_{2}-\zeta_{8}^{\alpha_{2}} x_{3}\right\rangle_{1}=0
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
J_{2}^{f,\left[Z_{1}\right]}=\left\langle x_{0}-\zeta_{8} x_{1}, x_{2}-\zeta_{8} x_{3}\right\rangle_{2} \cap\left\langle x_{0}-\zeta_{8}^{\alpha_{0}} x_{1}, x_{2}-\zeta_{8}^{\alpha_{2}} x_{3}\right\rangle_{2} \\
=\left\langle\left(x_{0}-\zeta_{8} x_{1}\right)\left(x_{0}-\zeta_{8}^{\alpha_{0}} x_{1}\right),\left(x_{2}-\zeta_{8} x_{3}\right)\left(x_{0}-\zeta_{8}^{\alpha_{0}} x_{1}\right),\left(x_{0}-\zeta_{8} x_{1}\right)\left(x_{2}-\zeta_{8}^{\alpha_{2}} x_{3}\right),\left(x_{2}-\zeta_{8} x_{3}\right)\left(x_{2}-\zeta_{8}^{\alpha_{2}} x_{3}\right)\right\rangle_{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

The statement about the quadratic fundamental forms follows from Theorem 1.3 item (i) and the verification that the quadratic fundamental form $\left.q_{1}\right|_{\text {Sym }^{2}\left(J^{\left.f,\left[Z_{1}\right]\right)}\right.}$ vanishes in degree $\leq 4$.

With the same notation of Example 5.2, the last remaining case to analyze is $m=\frac{n}{2}-1$ and $r \neq \check{r}$, which corresponds to Theorem 1.4. In this case we are not giving a full description of the generators of the Artinian Gorenstein ideal, but instead we provide an element where the quadratic fundamental never vanishes for $d \geq 2+\frac{8}{n}$.
Proof of Theorem 1.4 The condition $d \geq 2+\frac{8}{n}$ allows use to use Theorem 1.3 item (ii) applied for $e=d, \ell=d-2$ and $j=k=0$, to reduce the theorem to show that $\left.q_{1}\right|_{\mathrm{Sym}^{2}\left(J^{f},\left[Z_{1}\right]\right)}$ is non-zero in degree $d-2$. Consider

$$
G:=x_{1}^{d-3}\left(\left(r \zeta_{2 d}+\check{r} \zeta_{2 d}^{\alpha_{0}}\right) x_{0}+\left(r \zeta_{2 d}^{2}+\check{r} \zeta_{2 d}^{2 \alpha_{0}}\right) x_{1}\right) \in J_{d-2}^{f,\left[Z_{1}\right]}
$$

The quadratic fundamental form at $G$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& q_{1}(G, G)=r \check{r} \zeta_{2 d}^{\alpha_{0}+1}\left(\zeta_{2 d}^{\alpha_{0}}-\zeta_{2 d}\right)^{2} x_{0}^{d-4} x_{1}^{d-3}\left\{\left(r\left[\zeta_{2 d}^{2}(d-1)+\zeta_{2 d}^{\alpha_{0}+1}\right]+\check{r}\left[\zeta_{2 d}^{2 \alpha_{0}}(d-1)+\zeta_{2 d}^{\alpha_{0}+1}\right]\right) x_{0}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\left(r\left[(d-1) \zeta_{2 d}^{2}\left(\zeta_{2 d}^{\alpha_{0}}+\zeta_{2 d}\right)+2 \zeta_{2 d}^{2 \alpha_{0}+1}\right]+\check{r}\left[(d-1) \zeta_{2 d}^{2 \alpha_{0}}\left(\zeta_{2 d}^{\alpha_{0}}+\zeta_{2 d}\right)+2 \zeta_{2 d}^{\alpha_{0}+2}\right]\right) x_{1}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In order to see that this is non-zero in $R^{f} /\left\langle P_{Z_{1}}\right\rangle$, let us note first that $\left\langle P_{Z_{1}}\right\rangle_{2 d-6}$ is a 2 -dimensional subspace of $R_{2 d-6}^{f}$. In fact, in the basis of $R_{2 d-6}^{f}=\mathbb{C} \cdot x_{0}^{d-2} x_{1}^{d-4} \oplus \mathbb{C} \cdot x_{0}^{d-3} x_{1}^{d-3} \oplus \mathbb{C} \cdot x_{0}^{d-4} x_{1}^{d-2}$

$$
\left\langle P_{Z_{1}}\right\rangle_{2 d-6}=\mathbb{C} \cdot Q_{1} \oplus \mathbb{C} \cdot Q_{2}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{1} & =\left(r \zeta_{2 d}+\check{r} \zeta_{2 d}^{\alpha_{0}}\right) x_{0}^{d-2} x_{1}^{d-4}+\left(r \zeta_{2 d}^{2}+\check{r} \zeta_{2 d}^{2 \alpha_{0}}\right) x_{0}^{d-3} x_{1}^{d-3}+\left(r \zeta_{2 d}^{3}+\check{r} \zeta_{2 d}^{3 \alpha_{0}}\right) x_{0}^{d-4} x_{1}^{d-2} \\
Q_{2} & =\left(r \zeta_{2 d}^{2}+\check{r} \zeta_{2 d}^{2 \alpha_{0}}\right) x_{0}^{d-2} x_{1}^{d-4}+\left(r \zeta_{2 d}^{3}+\check{r} \zeta_{2 d}^{3 \alpha_{0}}\right) x_{0}^{d-3} x_{1}^{d-3}+\left(r \zeta_{2 d}^{4}+\check{r} \zeta_{2 d}^{4 \alpha_{0}}\right) x_{0}^{d-4} x_{1}^{d-2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $q_{1}(G, G)$ vanishes if and only if $q_{1}(G, G), Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$ are linearly dependent in $R_{2 d-6}^{f}$. Using the monomial basis of $R_{2 d-6}^{f}$ we can write a $3 \times 3$ matrix $M$ whose columns correspond to $q_{1}(G, G), Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$. Computing its determinant we obtain

$$
\operatorname{det}(M)= \pm \zeta_{2 d}^{3 \alpha_{0}+3}\left(\zeta_{2 d}^{\alpha_{0}}-\zeta_{2 d}\right)^{3} r^{2} \check{r}^{2}(r-\check{r})
$$

which is non-zero for $r \neq \check{r}$.

## 6 Hilbert function associated to a Hodge cycle

Theorem 1.1 gives us the tensor product structure of the Artinian Gorenstein algebra associated to a join algebraic cycle. This structure helps us to study its associated Hilbert function.

Definition 6.1. Let $X=\{F=0\} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ be a smooth degree $d$ hypersurface of even dimension $n$. For every $\lambda \in H^{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}}(X, \mathbb{Q})$, its associated Hilbert function $\operatorname{HF}_{\lambda}: \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ is the Hilbert function of its associated Artinian Gorenstein algebra $R^{F, \lambda}=\mathbb{C}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n+1}\right] / J^{F, \lambda}$.

Corollary 6.1. In the same context of Theorem 1.2 we have $\operatorname{HF}_{\left[J\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right)\right]}=\mathrm{HF}_{\left[Z_{1}\right]} * \mathrm{HF}_{\left[Z_{2}\right]}$, this means that for all $k \geq 0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{HF}_{\left[J\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right)\right]}(k)=\sum_{p+q=k} \operatorname{HF}_{\left[Z_{1}\right]}(p) \cdot \operatorname{HF}_{\left[Z_{2}\right]}(q) . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof This follows from Theorem 1.1.

Example 6.1. Using the above corollary we can compute the Hilbert function of the examples inside Fermat described in the previous section. As an illustration for one linear cycle in Fermat (see Example 5.1) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{HF}_{\left[\mathbb{P}^{\left.\frac{n}{2}\right]}\right.}=\varphi^{*\left(\frac{n}{2}+1\right)} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varphi: \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ is the Hilbert function of a point in a 0 -dimensional Fermat variety

$$
\varphi(k)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \text { if } 0 \leq k \leq d-2, \\
0 & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

In other words $\mathrm{HF}_{\left[\mathbb{P}^{\left.\frac{n}{2}\right]}\right.}(k)$ counts the number of ways of writing $k$ as an ordered sum of $\frac{n}{2}+1$ numbers between 0 and $d-2$.

Remark 6.1. The Hilbert function of (18) is in fact the Hilbert function of a generic linear cycle inside a smooth degree $d$ hypersurface of even dimension $n$. This follows from the upper semicontinuity of the Hilbert function along the locus of hypersurfaces containing an $\frac{n}{2}$-dimensional linear cycle. In fact, the upper semi-continuity of the Hilbert function holds along the locus of hypersurfaces containing an $\frac{n}{2}$-dimensional complete intersection for any fixed multi-degree. This is a direct consequence of the explicit description of generators of the associated Artinian Gorenstein ideal which can be found in [VL22b, Example 2.1]. In particular we can compute the Hilbert function of a generic complete intersection of type $(1,1, \ldots, 1, k)$ by writing it as a join in Fermat. In general, for other types of algebraic cycles $\lambda$ we do not know whether the Hilbert function is upper semi-continuous along $V_{\lambda}$ or not.

## 7 Fake algebraic cycles

In the article [DFVL23] the authors found pathological algebraic cycles in all Fermat varieties of degree 3,4 and 6 . They were pathological in the sense that their associated Hodge loci $V_{\lambda}$ had the biggest possible Zariski tangent space at the Fermat point without being $\lambda$ the class of a linear cycle (contradicting a conjecture of Movasati). These cycles were called fake linear cycles and were constructed from an arithmetic viewpoint using the Galois action in the cohomology of the Fermat variety. Using the join construction we can have a better understanding of these cycles as explicit combinations of linear cycles. In this section we will introduce a more general notion of fake algebraic cycles, inside any smooth hypersurface and we will show how one can find hypersurfaces containing fake linear cycles in any degree.
Definition 7.1. Let $X=\{F=0\} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ be a smooth degree $d$ hypersurface of even dimension $n$. Let $Z \subseteq X$ be an $\frac{n}{2}$-dimensional algebraic subvariety. A Hodge cycle $\lambda \in H^{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}}(X, \mathbb{Q})$ is a fake version of $[Z]$ if

$$
\mathrm{HF}_{\lambda}=\mathrm{HF}_{[Z]}
$$

but $\lambda_{\text {prim }}$ is not a scalar multiple of $[Z]_{\text {prim }}$.
Remark 7.1. By [DFVL23, Theorem 1.1] all Fermat varieties of degree $d=3,4,6$ (and only for those degrees) admit fake linear cycles. In fact, in this case the main result shows that $\operatorname{HF}_{\lambda}(d)=\binom{\frac{n}{2}+d}{d}-\left(\frac{n}{2}+1\right)^{2}=\operatorname{HF}_{\left[\mathbb{P}^{\frac{n}{2}}\right]}(d)$ implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\lambda}=c_{\lambda} \prod_{j=0}^{\frac{n}{2}}\left(\frac{x_{2 j}^{d-1}-\left(c_{j} x_{2 j+1}\right)^{d-1}}{x_{2 j}-c_{j} x_{2 j+1}}\right) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $c_{j} \in \zeta_{2 d}^{-3} \cdot\left\{z \in \mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{d}\right):|z|=1\right\}$ and some $c_{\lambda} \in \mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{2 d}\right)^{\times}$. From this we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
J^{F, \lambda}=\left\langle x_{0}-c_{0} x_{1}, x_{2}-c_{1} x_{3}, \ldots, x_{n}-c_{\frac{n}{2}} x_{n+1}, x_{0}^{d-1}, \ldots, x_{n+1}^{d-1}\right\rangle, \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

which in turn implies that $\mathrm{HF}_{\lambda}=\mathrm{HF}_{\left[\mathbb{P}^{\frac{n}{2}}\right]}$. In the case where all $c_{j}$ are $d$-th roots of $-1, \lambda$ corresponds to the class of a linear cycle in Fermat. In all other cases $\lambda$ is a fake linear cycle. The description of the Artinian Gorenstein ideal (20) implies that

$$
R^{F, \lambda}=\bigotimes_{j=1}^{\frac{n}{2}+1} R^{F_{j}, \lambda_{j}}
$$

where $X_{j}=\left\{F_{j}\left(x_{2 j-2}, x_{2 j-1}\right):=x_{2 j-2}^{d}+x_{2 j-1}^{d}=0\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{1}$ and $\lambda_{j}$ is the class of a 0 -cycle such that

$$
P_{\lambda_{j}}=\frac{x_{2 j-2}^{d-1}-\left(c_{2 j-2} x_{2 j-1}\right)^{d-1}}{x_{2 j-2}-c_{2 j-2} x_{2 j-1}}
$$

In other words, each $\lambda_{j}$ is a 0 -dimensional fake linear cycle. Since this is a Hodge cycle, there exist $n_{j, 1}, \ldots, n_{j, d} \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that

$$
P_{\lambda_{j}}=\sum_{\ell=1}^{d} n_{j, \ell} \cdot P_{\left[p_{\ell}^{j}\right]}
$$

where $X_{j}=\left\{p_{1}^{j}, p_{2}^{j}, \ldots, p_{d}^{j}\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{1}$ (note that each $p_{\ell}^{j} \in \mathrm{CH}^{0}\left(X_{j}\right)$ is a linear cycle, and so we know how to compute $P_{\left[p_{\ell}^{j}\right]}$ ). It follows from Theorem 1.1 that

$$
\lambda_{\text {prim }}=c \cdot J\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{\frac{n}{2}+1}\right)
$$

for some $c \in \mathbb{Q}^{\times}$. In other words, every fake linear cycle is a linear combination of linear cycles given by

$$
\lambda=\sum_{\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}, \ldots, \ell_{\frac{n}{2}+1}=1}^{d}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{\frac{n}{2}+1} n_{j, \ell_{j}}\right) \cdot J\left(p_{\ell_{1}}^{1}, p_{\ell_{2}}^{2}, \ldots, p_{\ell_{\frac{n}{2}+1}^{\frac{n}{2}+1}}^{\frac{n}{2}}\right) .
$$

Remark 7.2. The previous remark shows that the presence of fake linear cycles in degree $d=$ $3,4,6$ Fermat varieties is due to their existence in 0 -dimensional Fermat varieties of such degrees. Using this observation one can go further and produce some fake versions of other algebraic cycles obtained as joins. For instance we can produce fake versions of complete intersection cycles of type $(1,1, \ldots, 1,2)$ in Fermat varieties of degree $d=3,4,6$ by taking

$$
\lambda=J\left(\lambda_{1},\left[Z_{2}\right]\right)
$$

where $\lambda_{1}$ is a fake linear cycle in $X_{1}=\left\{x_{0}^{d}+\cdots+x_{n-1}^{d}=0\right\}$ and $Z_{2}=p_{1}+p_{2} \in \mathrm{CH}^{0}\left(X_{2}\right)$ for $X_{2}=\left\{x_{n}^{d}+x_{n+1}^{d}=0\right\}=\left\{p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{d}\right\}$. More generally, for any algebraic cycle given as a cone

$$
Z=J\left(p t, Z_{2}\right)
$$

we can construct a fake version of $Z$ if we replace the point by a 0-dimensional fake linear cycle. Hence it is natural ask whether there are more 0-dimensional hypersurfaces (of higher degree) containing 0-dimensional fake linear cycles. It turns out that it is not hard to construct hypersurfaces with infinitely many fake linear cycles in any degree.

Theorem 7.1. Let $X=\left\{F\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right):=\left(x_{0}-r_{1} x_{1}\right)\left(x_{0}-r_{2} x_{1}\right) \cdots\left(x_{0}-r_{d} x_{1}\right)=0\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{1}$ be a smooth degree $d$ hypersurface with $r_{i} \in \mathbb{Q}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, d$. Consider for each $c \in \mathbb{Q} \backslash$ $\left\{r_{1}, \ldots, r_{d}\right\}$ the polynomial

$$
\begin{equation*}
P:=\frac{a \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{0}}-b \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{1}}}{x_{0}-c x_{1}} \in R_{d-2}^{F} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $a=\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{1}}(c, 1)$ and $b=\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{0}}(c, 1)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta:=\operatorname{res}\left(\frac{P \cdot\left(x_{0} d x_{1}-x_{1} d x_{0}\right)}{F}\right) \in H^{0}(X, \mathbb{Q})_{\text {prim }} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a 0-dimensional fake linear cycle.
Proof For each point $p_{i}:=\left(r_{i}: 1\right) \in X$ we know $\left[p_{i}\right]_{\text {prim }} \in H^{0}(X, \mathbb{Q})$. Moreover we can write it as a residue applying [VL22a, Theorem 1.1]

$$
\left[p_{i}\right]_{\text {prim }}=\frac{-1}{d} \operatorname{res}\left(\frac{P_{i} \cdot\left(x_{0} d x_{1}-x_{1} d x_{0}\right)}{F}\right) \in H^{0}(X, \mathbb{Q})_{\text {prim }}
$$

where

$$
P_{i}=\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \frac{\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{0}}}{x_{0}-r_{i} x_{1}}-\frac{F}{\left(x_{0}-r_{i} x_{1}\right)^{2}}  \tag{23}\\
-r_{i} & \frac{\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{1}}}{x_{0}-r_{i} x_{1}}+r_{i} \frac{F}{\left(x_{0}-r_{i} x_{1}\right)^{2}}
\end{array}\right)=\frac{r_{i} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{0}}+\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{1}}}{x_{0}-r_{i} x_{1}} \in \mathbb{Q}\left[x_{0}, x_{1}\right]_{d-2}
$$

Since all the points $\left[p_{1}\right]_{\text {prim }}, \ldots,\left[p_{d}\right]_{\text {prim }}$ generate the $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space $H^{0}(X, \mathbb{Q})_{\text {prim }}$ of dimension $d-1$, and the residue map is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{C}\left[x_{0}, x_{1}\right]_{d-2}=R_{d-2}^{F} \simeq H^{0}(X, \mathbb{C})_{\text {prim }}$, it follows that the polynomials $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{d}$ generate all $\mathbb{Q}\left[x_{0}, x_{1}\right]_{d-2}$ as $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space. In particular, since $c \in \mathbb{Q}$, then $P \in \mathbb{Q}\left[x_{0}, x_{1}\right]_{d-2}$ and so we can write it as a $\mathbb{Q}$-linear combination

$$
P=q_{1} \cdot P_{1}+\cdots+q_{d} \cdot P_{d}
$$

Hence $\delta=q_{1} \cdot\left[p_{1}\right]_{\text {prim }}+\cdots+q_{d} \cdot\left[p_{d}\right]_{\text {prim }} \in H^{0}(X, \mathbb{Q})_{\text {prim }}$ is a rational class. To see that it defines a fake linear cycle it is enough to see that

$$
J^{F, \delta}=\left(J^{F}: P\right)=\left\langle x_{0}-c x_{1}, x_{0}^{d-1}, x_{1}^{d-1}\right\rangle
$$

and so $\mathrm{HF}_{\delta}=\mathrm{HF}_{\left[p_{i}\right]}$.

Now, as a corollary of Theorem 7.1 we obtain Theorem 1.5.
Proof of Theorem 1.5 Pick any degree $d$ homogeneous polynomials $F_{0}, \ldots, F_{n} \in \mathbb{Q}[x, y]_{d}$ such that each $F_{i}$ has only simple rational roots. Define $X:=\left\{F_{0}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)+F_{1}\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right)+\cdots+\right.$ $\left.F_{\frac{n}{2}}\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)=0\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$. For each $i=0, \ldots, \frac{n}{2}$ consider $X_{i}:=\left\{F_{i}\left(x_{2 i}, x_{2 i+1}\right)=0\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{1}$ and take any fake linear cycle $\delta_{i} \in H^{0}\left(X_{i}, \mathbb{Q}\right)_{\text {prim }}$. Then by Corollary 6.1

$$
\delta:=J\left(\delta_{0}, \ldots, \delta_{\frac{n}{2}}\right) \in H^{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}}(X, \mathbb{Q})_{\text {prim }}
$$

is a fake linear cycle.

Remark 7.3. A consequence of Theorem 7.1 and [VL22b, Theorem 1.1] is that no automorphism of $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ transforms all points of the Fermat variety $X=\left\{x_{0}^{d}+x_{1}^{d}\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{1}$ into rational points for $d \neq 3,4,6$. On the other hand, it is easy to check that for degrees $d=3,4,6$ there exists an automorphism of $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ taking all Fermat points to rational points. This explains the presence of fake linear cycles in Fermat varieties of such degrees.

Example 7.1. Let $X=\left\{F\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right):=\left(x_{0}-r_{1} x_{1}\right)\left(x_{0}-r_{2} x_{1}\right) \cdots\left(x_{0}-r_{6} x_{1}\right)=0\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{1}$ with $r_{1}=0, r_{2}=1, r_{3}=\frac{1}{2}, r_{4}=\frac{1}{4}, r_{5}=\frac{1}{3}, r_{6}=\frac{2}{5}$. Consider the same notation of Theorem 7.1, and take the fake linear cycle $\delta$ of the form (22) where the polynomial $P$ in (21) is defined using the number $c=-1$. Let $P_{i}$ be the associated polynomial to the point $\left(r_{i}: 1\right) \in X$ for $i=1, \ldots, 5$ (this is computed explicitly in (23)). Once we know explicitly all these polynomials, it is an elementary linear algebra problem to find the $\mathbb{Q}$-linear combination of the polynomial $P$ in terms of the polynomials $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{5}$, which is

$$
P=-\frac{207283}{810} P_{1}-\frac{68941}{270} P_{2}-\frac{507311}{1620} P_{3}-\frac{26911}{180} P_{4}-\frac{891881}{1620} P_{5} .
$$

For the case of fake linear cycles in Fermat varieties of degree $d=3,4,6$ one first transforms the Fermat equation to one with only rational roots, and proceeds in the same way as before. In fact, the above example is isomorphic to the Fermat sextic under the composition of the following automorphisms of $\mathbb{P}^{1}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\phi\left(x_{0}: x_{1}\right)=\left(x_{0}: x_{0}+x_{1}\right) \\
\psi\left(x_{0}: x_{1}\right)=\left(x_{0}-\zeta_{12} x_{1}:\left(1+\zeta_{6}^{-1}\right)\left(x_{0}-\zeta_{12}^{3} x_{1}\right)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

We have that $\psi^{*} \phi^{*}(F)=-\frac{2 \zeta_{6}^{2}+1}{40}\left(x_{0}^{6}+x_{1}^{6}\right)$ and the 0 -dimensional fake linear cycle $\delta \in H^{0}(X, \mathbb{Q})_{\text {prim }}$ is transformed to the fake linear cycle $\lambda=\psi^{*} \phi^{*} \delta$ inside the Fermat variety $\left\{x_{0}^{6}+x_{1}^{6}=0\right\} \subset \mathbb{P}^{1}$ given by

$$
\lambda=\operatorname{res}\left(\frac{P_{\lambda} \cdot\left(x_{0} d x_{1}-x_{1} d x_{0}\right)}{x_{0}^{6}+x_{1}^{6}}\right)
$$

with

$$
P_{\lambda}=c_{\lambda} \frac{x_{0}^{5}-\left(c_{0} x_{1}\right)^{5}}{x_{0}-c_{0} x_{1}}
$$

where $c_{0}=\zeta_{12}^{-3}\left(\frac{3 \zeta_{6}^{2}-1}{3-\zeta_{6}^{2}}\right) \in \zeta_{12}^{-3} \cdot \mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{6}\right)}^{1}$ and $c_{\lambda}=\frac{\zeta_{12}\left(191 \zeta_{6}+146\right)}{1-2 \zeta_{6}} \in \mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{12}\right)^{\times}$.

As a final result of this section we show the non smoothness of the Hodge loci associated to fake linear cycles.

Theorem 7.2. Let $n$ an even number and $d \geq 2+\frac{6}{n}$ an integer. For any degree $d$ homogeneous polynomials $F_{0}, \ldots, F_{\frac{n}{2}} \in \mathbb{Q}[x, y]_{d}$ with no multiple roots, let

$$
X=\left\{F_{0}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)+F_{1}\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right)+\cdots+F_{\frac{n}{2}}\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)=0\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{n+1}
$$

For each $i=0, \ldots, \frac{n}{2}$ consider $X_{i}:=\left\{F_{i}\left(x_{2 i}, x_{2 i+1}\right)=0\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{1}$ and some $\delta_{i} \in H^{0}\left(X_{i}, \mathbb{Q}\right)_{\text {prim }}$ with $\mathrm{HF}_{\delta_{i}}$ equal to the Hilbert function of a point. Let $\delta:=J\left(\delta_{1}, \ldots, \delta_{\frac{n}{2}}\right)$, then $\delta$ is a fake linear cycle if and only if $V_{\delta}$ is not smooth.

Proof If all $\delta_{i}$ are the primitive classes of points (up to scalar multiplication), then $\delta$ is the primitive class of a linear cycle (up to scalar multiplication), and so $V_{\delta}$ is known to be smooth. If some $\delta_{i}$ is a 0 -dimensional fake linear cycle, then we can write (up to scalar multiplication) $\delta_{i}=\operatorname{res}\left(\frac{P \cdot\left(x_{2 i} d x_{2 i+1}-x_{2 i+1} d x_{2 i}\right)}{F_{i}}\right)$ for

$$
P=\frac{a \frac{\partial F_{i}}{\partial x_{2 i}}-b \frac{\partial F_{i}}{\partial x_{2 i+1}}}{x_{2 i}-c x_{2 i+1}}
$$

where $a=\frac{\partial F_{i}}{\partial x_{2 i+1}}(c, 1), b=\frac{\partial F_{i}}{\partial x_{2 i}}(c, 1)$ and $F_{i}(c, 1) \neq 0$. If we compute the quadratic fundamental form $q_{i}$ of $V_{\delta_{i}}$ at the term $x_{2 i}-c x_{2 i+1} \in J_{1}^{F_{i}, \delta_{i}}$ we get

$$
q_{i}\left(x_{2 i}-c x_{2 i+1}, x_{2 i}-c x_{2 i+1}\right)=a+b c=d \cdot F_{i}(c, 1) \neq 0
$$

We claim that $R^{F_{i}} /\langle P\rangle$ is non-zero at degree $2 d-5$. In fact, since $J^{F_{i}}$ is Artinian Gorenstein of socle in degree $2 d-4$, then $\left(J^{F_{i}}: x_{2 i}-c x_{2 i+1}\right)$ is Artinian Gorenstein of socle in degree $2 d-5$ and so there exists some $Q \in \mathbb{C}\left[x_{2 i}, x_{2 i+1}\right]_{2 d-5}$ such that $Q \cdot\left(x_{2 i}-c x_{2 i+1}\right) \notin J^{F_{i}}$. But since $P \cdot\left(x_{2 i}-c x_{2 i+1}\right) \in J^{F_{i}}$, it follows that $Q \notin\langle P\rangle$, and so $\left(R^{F_{i}} /\langle P\rangle\right)_{2 d-5} \neq 0$ as claimed. Therefore

$$
\left.q_{i}\right|_{\operatorname{Sym}^{2}\left(J_{1}^{F_{i}}, \delta_{i}\right)} \cdot \mathbb{C}\left[x_{2 i}, x_{2 i+1}\right]_{2 d-5} \neq 0 \in R^{F_{i}} /\langle P\rangle .
$$

Using that $d \geq 2+\frac{6}{n}$ we can apply Theorem 1.3 (ii) with the values $e=d, \ell=1, j=2 d-5$, $k=0$ to conclude that the quadratic fundamental form $q$ associated to $V_{\delta}$ is non-zero in degree $d$, and so $V_{\delta}$ is not smooth.
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